	1 (Pages 1 to 4
Page 1	Page 3
	<sup>1</sup> Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:
SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY	3 MP MAYERSOUN: All right. Good marning
AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING	<ul> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. Good morning,</li> <li>everybody. Thanks for your patience. This is</li> </ul>
AODIT COMMITTEE MEETING	the Thursday, February 24th Audit Committee
	6 Meeting. It is now 11:30.
KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER	If we can rise for the pledge?
BOARD ROOM	8 (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
600 SE 3RD AVENUE	9 recited.)
FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA	MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. Can we get a roll
	call?
	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 24TH, 2022 11:30 A.M 1:12 P.M.	13 Mr. Moses Barnes?
11.50 A.IVI 1.12 F.IVI.	MR. BARNES: Here.
	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Rebecca Dahl?
	MS. DAHL: Here.
	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Anthony De Meo?
	<sup>18</sup> MR. DE MEO: Here.
	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Hagen Disch is excused.
	Ms. Mary Fertig?
	<sup>21</sup> MS. FERTIG: Here.
Court Reporter:	MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh?
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter Bass Reporting Service, Inc.	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here.
633 SE 3rd Avenue, Suite 200	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Robert Mayersohn?
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301	MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm here.
Page 2	Page 4
COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:	<sup>1</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Andrew Medvin?
MR. ANDREW MEDVIN, VICE CHAIR	<sup>2</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Here.
MR. MOSES BARNES MS. REBECCA DAHL	<sup>3</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Andrew Sabin?
MR. ANTHONY DE MEO MS. MARY FERTIG	4 MR. SABIN: Here.
DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH MR. ADAM SABIN	<sup>5</sup> MR. JABOUIN: And Ms. Phyllis Shaw?
MS. PHYLLIS SHAW (Telephonically)	<sup>6</sup> MS. SHAW: Present, on the phone.
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF:	<sup>7</sup> MR. MAYERSOHN: So, Ms. Shaw, you're on the
MR. JORIS JABOUIN, Chief Auditor	8 phone; huh?
MS. ALI ARCESE, Manager, Property and Inventory Audits	9 MS. SHAW: Yes, I'm sorry.
MS. ANN CONWAY, Manager, Internal Funds Audits MR. ERIC SEIFER, Auditor III	MR. JABOUIN: My name is Joris Jabouin, I am
MS. MICHELE MARQUARDT, Executive Secretary MS. WANDA RADCLIFF, Clerk Spec B Confidential	the Chief Auditor.
	MR. MAYERSOHN: Can we go around the room fo
DR. VALERIE WANZA, Chief School Performance &	just staff purposes?
Accountability Officer, Office of School Performance & Accountability	MR. BAYS: Good morning. Sam Bays, Executive
DR. JERMAINE FLEMING, Acting Chief Strategy & Operations Officer, Office of the Chief Strategy	Director, Task Assigned, Office of Capital
Operations Officer  MR. SAM BAYS, Task Assigned Executive Director Capital	Programs.
Program, Office of Chief Facilities &	DR. FLEMING: Good morning. Jermaine
MS. MARY COKER, Director, Procurement & Warehousing	Fleming, Acting Chief Strategy & Operations.
Services MS. SHARI FRANCIS, Manager, PWS	DR. WANZA: Good morning. Valerie Wanza,
INVITED GUESTS:	Chief School Performance & Accountability
MR. DAVID LUKER, Director, RSM	Officer.
MR. CHRIS GUMS, Risk Advisory Services, RSM	MR. LUKER: David Luker, RSM.
WO. ASTILL FOAK LIVER, AKINS	MR. GUMS: Chris Gums, Senior Auditor, RSM.
MR. SCOTT TRAVIS, Reporter, Sun-Sentinel	WS. CONVAT. And Conway, Office of the Chief
MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting	

	Page 5
1	MS. FRANCIS: Shari Francis, Manager
2	Construction Sourcing, Procurement & Warehouse
3	Services.
4	MS. MARQUARDT: Michelle Marquardt, Office of
5	the Chief Auditor.
6	MS. RADCLIFF: Wanda Radcliff, Office of the
7	Chief Auditor.
8	COURT REPORTER: Tim Bass, Court Reporter.
9	MR. MAYERSOHN: Thank you.
10	All right. The approval of the agenda, do I
11	have a motion to approve.
12	MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, motion to approve.
13	MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have a second?
14	MR MEDVIN: Second

MR. MAYERSOHN: Second by Mr. Medvin. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye. MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?

(No response.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: The agenda is approved.

Chief Auditor Administrative Matters.

established advisory committee members.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Mr. Mayersohn. In the interest of time I will go extremely quickly to report that we have received all of the acknowledgment of school board advisory member

Page 7

superintendent. This is the third time that I've asked about, we made a motion regarding that the Office of Chief Auditor report directly to the school board. It was my understanding that when I had a conversation with Dr. Osgood, who was the chair at the time, that she was going to bring that item forward. Some information or some report where that stands, whether it's -- again, I'm not asking that it be approved or not, but just that it goes before the school board in some way, some fashion, whether a workshop or whatever it may be and some timeframe. Because this has been going on for a while.

DR. FLEMING: Thanks for that question. And this meeting was delayed today because the superintendent, officially, her contract was approved today. So I'm sure as the superintendent looks at her organizational chart and that request comes forward it will be considered. But I will also make note to relay the message again.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Well, this may go, like I said, both ways to the current chair, you know, and she can decide how to agenda that item. I know I said Dr. Osgood was supportive of

Page 6

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forms and that all the audit committee members have taken the annual training for school-based

As we get this meeting started I do want to thank my staff and BECON and the rest of the district to be able to get this meeting going in short order. We needed to skip a few of some of the processes that we do to get this meeting going, but I do thank the committee members for attending. And that concludes my report as there are no public speakers.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Are there any public speakers?

(No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: None? All right.

Audit Committee Chair Comments. My comments are going to be brief. Just remember, we do have a 1 p.m. cutoff time. Just, again, a reminder, our goal remains that we want to, you know, have explicit focus and let's not, you know, get off on tangents. So let's stay laser sharp on, especially on today's meeting to get all this work done.

My comment is, and I guess I bring this to Dr. Fleming, who is subbing or coming in for the

Page 8 putting it on an agenda, not necessarily saying,

you know, I agree or disagree, but getting it on an agenda and having a discussion. So I just

wanted to bring that forward.

With that being said, that's kind of my report.

Do I have a motion to approve the January 20th audit committee meetings minutes?

Don't all jump in.

MR. SABIN: So moved. Adam Sabin.

MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, second.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Moved by Mr. Sabin, second by Ms. Shaw.

All those in favor signify bay saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody not approve?

(No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.

Moving on to Item Number 8, Internal Funds

Audits for Selected Schools.

Dr. Wanza, we're going to try to be brief on this.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. Good morning. Agenda Item Number 8 is the consolidated report of audits of internal funds of 26 schools.

		_	
	Page 9		Page 11
1	As previously discussed in some other committee	1	process. As I look at exceptions in a bigger
2	meetings, we've gone through the scope of the	2	scale, whether or not they happen or not, so we
3	work. In the previous two meetings there were	3	do have a committee that consists of Dr. Wanza,
4	exceptions. With this audit there were no	4	Dr. Fleming, Mr. Vinueza and Mr. Gorokhovsky
5	exceptions amongst the 26 schools.	5	that's looking at that process from beginning to
6	MR. MAYERSOHN: Any questions? Any comments?	6	end because sometimes we do have findings and
7	(No response.)	7	
8	MS. FERTIG: Move to transmit.	8	sometimes we don't. I do want to congratulate
9	MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have a second?	9	the departments that did well, but it's always
10		10	important to look to the process from beginning
11	MR. MEDVIN: Second.	11	to end, and we are doing that.
12	MR. MAYERSOHN: All those in favor signify by		MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. So do I have any
	saying aye.	12	any other further discussion?
13	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.	13	(No response.)
14	MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?	14	MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have a motion to
15	(No response.)	15	transmit?
16	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.	16	MR. MEDVIN: So moved.
17	Moving on to Property and Inventory of Select	17	MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion by Mr. Medvin, second
18	Schools.	18	by?
19	MR. JABOUIN: Good morning. Agenda Item	19	MS. FERTIG: Second.
20	Number 9 is the standard property and inventory	20	MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Fertig.
21	audits of 19 departments. The audit was	21	Thank you, Dr. Wanza.
22	performed by the property and inventory team.	22	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	The specific departments that were reviewed,	23	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
24	you can see them on pages 4 and 5. And there	24	MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?
25	were 725 items with a historical cost of	25	(No response.)
			,
	Page 10		Page 12
1		1	
1 2	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those	1 2	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.
	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet		MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10.
2	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to	2	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.  All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10.  MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review
2	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.	2	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.  All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10.  MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction
2 3 4	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion?	2 3 4	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services.
2 3 4 5	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.	2 3 4 5	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments.
2 3 4 5 6	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's	2 3 4 5 6	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report. MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report. MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but MR. LUKER: Yeah, I'm happy to have Chris do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.  MS. DAHL: Okay. Good. So I certainly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.  MS. DAHL: Okay. Good. So I certainly applaud you as well because there's not anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report. MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but MR. LUKER: Yeah, I'm happy to have Chris do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.  MS. DAHL: Okay. Good. So I certainly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report. MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but MR. LUKER: Yeah, I'm happy to have Chris do a brief summary if that is what you guys would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.  MS. DAHL: Okay. Good. So I certainly applaud you as well because there's not anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services.  They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but MR. LUKER: Yeah, I'm happy to have Chris do a brief summary if that is what you guys would like.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.  MS. DAHL: Okay. Good. So I certainly applaud you as well because there's not anything on property and inventory. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report. MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but MR. LUKER: Yeah, I'm happy to have Chris do a brief summary if that is what you guys would like. MR. GUMS: Thank you. So I'll just run
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.  MS. DAHL: Okay. Good. So I certainly applaud you as well because there's not anything on property and inventory. Thank you.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Any other comments or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services.  They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but MR. LUKER: Yeah, I'm happy to have Chris do a brief summary if that is what you guys would like.  MR. GUMS: Thank you. So I'll just run through this rather quickly. I know we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.  MS. DAHL: Okay. Good. So I certainly applaud you as well because there's not anything on property and inventory. Thank you.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Any other comments or questions?  MR. JABOUIN: Yes, I just, one point to also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report. MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but MR. LUKER: Yeah, I'm happy to have Chris do a brief summary if that is what you guys would like. MR. GUMS: Thank you. So I'll just run through this rather quickly. I know we're pressed for time. As Mr. Jabouin stated, we had five total
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.  MS. DAHL: Okay. Good. So I certainly applaud you as well because there's not anything on property and inventory. Thank you.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Any other comments or questions?  MR. JABOUIN: Yes, I just, one point to also mention, Ms. Dahl, I do want to congratulate the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services.  They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but MR. LUKER: Yeah, I'm happy to have Chris do a brief summary if that is what you guys would like.  MR. GUMS: Thank you. So I'll just run through this rather quickly. I know we're pressed for time.  As Mr. Jabouin stated, we had five total comments. I'll start with Item Number 1 and just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	\$1,091,047 across the various departments. Those included the departments of various cabinet chiefs. There were no exceptions with respect to the departments that were reviewed.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have any discussion? Yes, Ms. Dahl.  MS. DAHL: I just want to say that, and it's very nice to see that there's no exceptions in property and inventory because that one has been a bane in our side for quite a while. So congratulations to your department on the audit.  And I don't think you have much to do with that, do you, Dr. Wanza?  DR. WANZA: I just want to say my department, a couple that report to me are there and we're good.  MS. DAHL: Okay. Good. So I certainly applaud you as well because there's not anything on property and inventory. Thank you.  MR. MAYERSOHN: Any other comments or questions?  MR. JABOUIN: Yes, I just, one point to also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. All right. Moving on to RSM, Item Number 10. MR. JABOUIN: So Item Number 10 is the Review that we asked RSM to perform on the Construction Manager at Risk Contract Services. They did review it. They had five comments. Management has addressed four of the comments. The second comment regarding liquidating damages, staff has responded to. Ms. Coker will be around to provide some additional information to that, but we do have Mr. David Luker and Mr. Chris Gums here to provide any information that is requested by the committee with respect to the report. MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker. I mean, I know this is brief, but MR. LUKER: Yeah, I'm happy to have Chris do a brief summary if that is what you guys would like. MR. GUMS: Thank you. So I'll just run through this rather quickly. I know we're pressed for time. As Mr. Jabouin stated, we had five total

_	
Page	1 4
Lage	T 0

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

construction retainage and the treatment of retention. We noted that the language had been partially updated from the previous version of the agreement. However, there was still language in there that was no longer applicable given the recent changes in retainage laws. As noted in management's response the language was subsequently updated to more closely align with the statutes.

Moving on to number 2 --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Does anybody have questions on number 1?

(No response.)

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. Number 2. Thank you.

MR. GUMS: Our comment relates to liquidated damages for time of completion. In our experience most of the NTPs we've received in the district, and that's notice to proceed, allow 30 days between substantial and final completion. So really our main point was that, if the standard was, in fact, set at 30 days and they wished to enforce the terms in the NTP, we recommended that number of days be reduced from 60 to 30. Management noted in their response

Page 15

internally should review at this point because each project is different, and, as you alluded to and stated earlier, the project at the time of closeout can take between 30 to 120 days and it's not a one-size-fits-all. Although we would like for them to be closed out within 30 days, there are just specific factors, external factors that don't allow us to do that. So though we acknowledge that it wasn't done in 30 days, we would like the opportunity to potentially request that that number or that statement be changed to read, you know, an ample amount of time, timeframe, that would allow us to close out the file correct. So I'm willing to entertain any language recommendations or some other direction as it relates to ensuring that we are compliant with policy, we are compliant with closing out of the projects, but the most important thing is that we close them out effectively and efficiently. So giving us a one box, 30 days, is not necessarily the response. Thank you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So I guess my question is, you're asking us for a recommendation?

MS. COKER: I'm asking for elaboration on the

Page 14

here that the standard is currently 60 days. And we've seen in the data provided to us by the PM/OR, AECOM that that closeout is actually taking much longer than 60 days, so we have no further comment.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Any questions on Item 2? (No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. 3.

MR. GUMS: Finally, the last three items on the next page all relate to minor formatting or reference errors, and, again, as management noted in their response those items were subsequently updated, so no further comments from RSM on that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Any questions on 3, 4 or 5? (No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. Ms. Coker, welcome. Do you have any comments on this?

MS. COKER: Good morning, sir. Mary Coker, director of Procurement & Warehousing Services.

Thank you for the opportunity to possibly elaborate a little bit more. We -- as we agree with the auditors that 30 days is definitely not -- has not been standard practice for several years, and we recommended for it to be 60 days, I understand that that is something that we

Page 16

opportunity for us to consider an option other than 30 days flat out, where we may say normally 30 days, but on projects that have extensive work can take up to 120 days or something to that nature is basically what we're asking for consideration.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker?

MR. LUKER: Sure. Thank you. I'd just like to clarify, the observation as written states that the liquidated damages article does not basically allow for liquidated damages to be applied until the project has reached that 60-day mark. And our comment was that, in the notices to proceed in many cases 30 days is the timeline generally allotted to complete or close out the project. And so we were just highlighting the gap between what the notices to proceed typically

So I think our comment was that, if it's the district's intent to not start charging contractors liquidated damages until 60 days, that's perfectly acceptable if that is your decision. We just wanted to make sure that we highlighted the discrepancy between the typical

allow for in terms of closeout versus when

liquidated damages would start to be applied.

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NTP duration and what this contract language states.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So, Ms. Coker, did you understand that?

MS. COKER: Yes, sir.

1

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So I guess, as you said, all you're doing is reviewing it, you're not making policy.

MR. LUKER: That's correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I guess I would turn to Mr. Fleming and see if you're comfortable with that.

Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: This is really not a procurement issue because they're not the experts in what's a norm when it comes to construction, they just have to enforce whatever is set. I have a hard time believing that Procurement is the one dictating 30 or 60 days.

But now that I'm looking at this, because I have other concerns when it comes to CMAR, which has to do with the GMP, which we've had robust discussions about at FTF. So if they've been running an average of 153 days, I would be asking Atkins and AECOM, because, to their point, if it says 30 and that's never been hit, then you need

Page 19

Page 20

being taken. And if we can -- we've worked towards getting to 60 days, that'd be great, but you've got four or five departments that are involved in trying to sign off officially for a final closeout of the project.

So we agree with Mr. Luker that it's a conflict in the documents. We should change the NTP to the 60. We also say that, you know, our goal and what is in our master schedule is 60 days to close.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes, Dr. Lynch-Walsh. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So if 60 is also not necessarily realistic, what happens -- because this contractor has limited control over some of these other steps that have to happen, so what happens if it exceeds 60 but it's not their, the contractor's fault?

MS. LANGAN: As in any delay in the projects, since we don't have -- there's no -- the contractors don't get any financial gain from contracts extending or construction extending, they wait. So, you know, like for closeout or retainage, we track it based on substantial completion. We have a no damage for delay clause in our contracts. So nothing really happens to

Page 18

to cross that right out of those things. Because that doesn't make any sense. If 60 is an industry standard, but we're not there yet, then we have another problem. So are we closing in on -- I almost know the answer to this question, this is a question for AECOM or Atkins, are we closing in on 60 days or anywhere close to that between substantial and final?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Who wants to answer? MS. LANGAN: I think, as Mr. Luker indicated. we're talking about a conflict in the contract between an NTP and the 60 days. So I don't think we're -- I don't understand it as a disagreement between 30 or 60. I think it's a conflict in the document that we have to correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Correct.

MS. LANGAN: Having said that, 60 days is even aggressive. We have now scheduled the closeout in four or five phases moving all with the financials. Just to get a 210, 110B and a 209 signed that has to go all the way to the superintendent. That can take three to four months. The building department signs off, then the superintendent, so -- and then it goes to financial. So there's a lot of steps that are

the contractor at all.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you. I smell an FTF discussion come next week.

MS. LANGAN: Happy to join that discussion. MR. MAYERSOHN: So basically you guys agree

to change it to the 60 days at this point. The other stuff is beyond the scope of this review.

MS. LANGAN: Yes, sir.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Yes, Ms. Fertig.

MS. COKER: If I may, Shari Francis, the Manager for Construction Sourcingm just wanted to add a comment to that, if possible.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Sure.

MS. FRANCIS: So while the 60 days would be on our general CMAR projects going forward, the item before us is a continuing contracted CMAR, so what we've recommended is not having any days in there and that would be assigned on a per project basis. So I just wanted to make sure that we understood the recommendation that staff has presented back as a response to RSM for that particular contract. While the 60 days would be a change, because we are looking at the general CMAR contract as well, these changes are going to apply in there. As it relates to the CC-CMAR,

Page 24

Page 21

that would be assigned at the time of notice of receipt on a per project basis.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So Ms. Fertig and then I'll go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

MS. FERTIG: On Number 1, when did the Florida statute change?

MR. GUMS: I believe it was in effect in the 2024 statutes.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. And you're picking this up now, but who's actually drafting and administering the contracts?

MR. LUKER: This contract was drafted in 2014 or 2016, I think, was the last revision.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I remember that conversation well. I'm just wondering, are these contracts that are being used with people and the statute changes and no one's like picked this up and made the change?

MR. LUKER: It would be my assumption that the CMAR contract template that was valid as of 2016 is the CMAR contract that has been used in the district to this point. This would be a new agreement that would be utilized. And to my knowledge I would have to defer to management. I'm not sure if any of the CMAR agreements that

what was happening, but -- so I guess my concern is something not being updated two years after the statute has been changed and I still haven't heard an answer as to who the responsible person

MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Fleming?

for the contract is.

MS. FERTIG: And I'm not looking to point a finger, I'm just trying to find what department is responsible.

DR. FLEMING: Yeah, initially it was a collaboration between legal and procurement to take a look at that. So to that end, Ms. Fertig, that will be addressed like Kathleen mentioned.

So one more comment by Shari right behind you.

MS. FRANCIS: So I did want to mention that we haven't used this contract since this change has occurred. Just to be clear, we are constantly in communication with legal on any statute changes and anything that we need to do and they also do advise us if there's something we need to take to amendment to change the contract. That was not the advice provided, so going forward this contract is going to the board in March to add new vendors to our pool with this

Page 22

new language included.

So we have not gone against any statues. We've always been up to date on everything. Just to kind of ease your mind on that. We are constantly staying on top of that and we do work with the Office of Capital Programs and our program managers to make sure we're on top of any adjustments that need to be made in the process when that does apply. In this case, this is the

first time we've had an opportunity and needed to adjust the language, so we're making sure we do that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Before -- let Mr. Luker respond because I think you were going to respond and then Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

MR. LUKER: Well, I was just going to state that I think that in practice the contracts that are currently being managed, even though they may have the old retainage language in them, I think it would be incumbent upon OSC and PM/OR to make sure that the method for withholding retainage on those projects, currently, because the contract hasn't changed was still commensurate with the new modified retainage statutes which limit retainage to five percent and no longer require

have been executed have incorporated any retainage modifications to this point.

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

MS. LANGAN: Through the Chair, the CMAR contracts that have been signed have all been signed under the previous PM/OR. The contracts that are preparing to be signed on two of our CMAR projects are going to be the new ones as edited.

MS. COKER: And this is Mary Coker, if I may add, no, you are correct, Ms. Fertig. Those contracts have not been updated. We haven't necessarily had many CMARs. We are now going back and I think this would be a great opportunity to work with our legal department as we are working on some of our templates for updating them as part of our policy reviews to include and change that language as well.

So, again, as Kathleen stated, the contracts at hand, I believe, was from even prior to my arrival.

MS. FERTIG: I think we, many of us who have been on this committee remember when the contract went through the board because our audit committee actually made a recommendation against

the 50 percent reduction at 50 percent completion.

1.0

So I think that's -- I think it's more of a functional -- I think the contracts may need to be amended, which is probably where you're going, but I think administratively, if we have any CMARs who are taking advantage and holding the 10 percent that was allowed under the prior guidance that would need to be addressed and that would be where we would maybe be in conflict with the updated statute from a year and a half ago.

MR. MAYERSOHN: And do you have any opinion on leaving the number of days, the length?

MR. LUKER: I am not an attorney. However, if I was, I would think that the contractor may have some heartburn agreeing to the district's judgment on when it starts to apply liquidated damages. I would think that they would want a date, but maybe not.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Dr. Lynch-Walsh. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So just to clarify again, so as a refresher, so the Continuing Contract CMAR and the general CMAR are both contracts that Procurement was revising and bringing through so FTF saw them and RSM is providing another layer

Page 27

a mistake, we don't really want to wait for an auditor to pick it up. We want it done correctly through our legal staff, if that's who's doing it, which is what I was assuming, but maybe you're doing it. I don't know. I just was asking who's the person and I noticed at the last meeting we had on the audit there were a lot of numerical, and in this one there seems to be a few other errors in numbering and so forth, and I'm just thinking that there has to be a way to catch those before you actually sign a contract and we don't wait and find it out after the fact through an outside auditor. Because they're not going to be auditing everything. They're going to be selectively auditing. So I just find that troubling and I would like to know the responsible thing where the person how is drafting contracts on our behalf is making sure that they are accurate and reflect current legal standards.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. I guess my question is to Dr. Fleming, because you're, again, the superintendent's representative, legislative changes usually take effect for the most part July 1st, at the end of the legislative session.

Page 26

of review and oversight, which is why they're finding things like this, when you're writing the language it's hard to check yourself, so you need someone else looking at it, and so RSM typically reviews any contract revisions. So this is a continuing contract. We just had the general -- there's a CMAR project for -- oh, Rickards, actually, where there was just a QSEC meeting, so the new CMAR contract that was reviewed is going to be used for that, but that hasn't happened yet. So like they're saying, these are revisions to the contract that are being reviewed, so that's why the changes would be incorporated in there because they haven't been used yet.

MS. COKER: And we're bringing those contracts, just so you know, to the next school board meeting, regular school board meeting on March 15th. We're bringing a few items, a few CMAR items with those updates and changes.

MS. FERTIG: And I would just have a concern if we're relying on an outside auditor to catch changes to a contract that really we have inside legal counsel -- inside -- I mean, you know, is our legal counsel working on this on a continuing basis? And once we get the contract, if we make

Page 28

Every year somebody has to from the district review those changes. It's surprising me that even if a contract has been dormant or you're currently not using it that those changes don't happen -- you know, whatever changes exist July 1st, the district looks at whatever contracts, policies, whatever those changes are and brings them forward in one lump sum to the board and says, here's the changes, we need to make these changes, as opposed to waiting a year and a half.

So I don't know what the process is, but, clearly, to me, the process has to be tightened up. Because, although these contracts weren't used, it could be that in that interim maybe they were or maybe, you know, there's something else that we haven't identified because it hasn't been audited or something slipped through the cracks, but those are important things to look at, especially when you're looking at policies or contracts.

Yes, Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Maybe I'm not saying this right. Let me try again.

This change, which everyone's pretty much aware of, they have some CMAR and Continuing

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CMARs, so this is a review process. There are policies in this district that haven't been touched since I was five years old. This is something that is now relevant and they have incorporated a change. If they miss the language, which is the point of having a review, this was not an audit, they were specifically asked to review, you could also ask the Facilities general counsel, who I think reviews the contract as well, because Procurement is not doing this in a vacuum, they are responsible for the contract, but there are a lot of other eyeballs on this contract, but Procurement is the one writing the language.

1

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And to my point, when you are the one writing something, you need other eyeballs to check what you have written. Anybody can make a mistake.

So, to your point, there is someone in the Office of General Counsel who does handle facilities related items, and should they have caught this, probably, instead of RSM. But the point is, it's caught now. They just had a discussion at the school board meeting about graduation speeches because there was a state statute from 2019 and the policy hadn't been

Page 31

everyone knows this change exists, so even if it says the old language everyone's going to expect the state statute to apply. The bigger problem is the district's assuming all the risk, not is a comma missing or a period or, you know, someone just made a scrivener's error. But that isn't the discussion here. It is a discussion we had

at length as it pertained to Rickards and everything else because we don't want to be losing millions of dollars because there's no risk to the contractor, but we had multiple discussions where we can't -- it's just something that we're going to have to live with. So --

> MR. MAYERSOHN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, and I think to Ms. Fertig's point, it's discussing the process of when a change happens how does it get implemented. Am I correct?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I mean I --

MR. MAYERSOHN: So we're not arguing whether or not there are 400,000 different policies in the district, what's the process and who's responsible? And I think that's what you were alluding to.

MS. FERTIG: And if there's a legal contract to be reviewed I would anticipate that would be

Page 30

revised since 2017, which is why it's incumbent upon the superintendent to gather all the policies and figure out what state statutes have changed.

But I think we're spending a lot of time on a problem that isn't really a problem. Because one department I can tell you endeavors to really bring everything up to date is Procurement, specifically in the facilities, as it pertains to facilities contracts. So, I get it, but they're not our biggest problem.

In terms of concerns I have about CMAR is that we still do just GMPs at 100 percent, which is a function of the dysfunction of the district where you can't really get it much sooner. And on the Rickards project, that is one, because it's a reuse where we're looking to save money and they can better explain it in terms of how they're phasing it, so that instead of there being all the risk to the district there's some risk taken by the contractor by the CMAR. That is why we stopped doing CMAR in this district because the district was taking on all the risks, not because somebody forgot to put in something that, to Mr. Luker's point, you wouldn't --

Page 32

reviewed by our in-house counsel's office. And, you know, I don't see this as a procurement department thing, I see that that review should be ongoing and on a regular basis, when a statute changes, they check the contract to see if there's anything out there.

Anybody can make a mistake. Our goal I think is to make sure that we close the gap so that they don't. I think that's the purpose of doing these audits so we can make sure we have processes in place so we don't make mistakes. And there's a difference between a scrivener's error or one paragraph being misnumbered and some other things. And I just think it would behoove us to know who's responsible for reviewing the contract, how regularly are they doing it, who's reviewing when the state statute changes and so forth. And Mr. Fleming, I --

DR. FLEMING: So today I've heard you loud and clear, and if you would allow, through Mr. Jabouin, I'll provide or have legal provide what that process looks like so this committee can review that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Is there any more discussion on this item? The only thing that I

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 think is left open is leaving the liquidated damages open or having it liquid within 60 days and that's -- I mean, review has been presented,

that's a policy decision, so it's not -- unless you want to opine on it, I mean, it's up to us,

but I would think that becomes more of a policy issue.

> But that being said, do I have a motion to transmit?

MS. FERTIG: Yes, motion to transmit.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion by Ms. Fertig. Second

by? I hear Ms. Shaw. Do I have a second?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second.

MS. SHAW: Second, Phyllis.

MR. MAYERSOHN: You're too late. You're too

late, Ms. Shaw, but thank you.

Second by Dr. Lynch-Walsh. All those in

favor signify by saying aye.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it.

We're just rolling along here. Number 11.

MR. JABOUIN: Agenda Item Number 11 is the

RSM SMART Bond Review that they perform

Page 35

those 10 projects to AECOM and requested that they provide us with the supporting documentation for the modification to those 10 project schedules.

I was going over the overview on the first page, I'll go ahead and skip to the actual observation and supported trend calculations on page 4. So our analysis also included interviews with the PM/OR, the CPCM as well as members of OFC in an attempt to better understand how the re-baselining process was actually performed. In response to our request for the 10 sample items AECOM produced Exhibit A to this report entitled Response to RSM Observations Regarding Ten Project Schedule Audits. That file included description and snippets of those project schedules but did not include any of the original files or original reports that would have been generated during the re-baselining process in October, November and December of 2020.

So this finding is written not to say that the schedule -- the re-baseline is -- was inaccurate, the finding is written because we requested supporting documents from that time period, October through December 2020, to aid us

Page 34

quarterly. This specific report is a continuation of the report that RSM presented at the November 18th audit committee meeting and then at the January 20th audit committee meeting there were two observations that we didn't include because there was still work being done on them. They have been consolidated into one observation, which is what you have on page 5.

So we do have the finding by RSM and the responses from AECOM as well as OCP as well and we have Mr. Luker and Ms. Langan and Mr. Bays here to comment on any of the audit committee's questions.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker?

MR. LUKER: Thank you. As Joris mentioned, this is work that was actually embarked upon in early 2021 and it pertains specifically to the re-baselining of the program schedule performed by AECOM as a part of their transition. RSM obtained an independent version of the schedule directly from Atkins and we conducted an analysis to compare the new re-baselined schedule to the former schedule produced by CBRE-Heery. That analysis was used to develop a sample of 10 projects for further inspection. We submitted

Page 36

in understanding how the schedule was modified. And the information that was produced to us was all produced after that date. So the Exhibit A, Response to RSM Observations document was produced in June of '21 and then the spreadsheets that are also included as an attachment to that report were produced to us in November of 2021. And so still as we stand here today having presented this or attempted to present this finding and then had it pushed back a couple of times, we still have not seen any actual source documents, reports or analyses that were generated during the time period of the re-baselining and that is the nature of this

And the finding goes on to state in the second phase of it, we have this chart that shows a couple of the projects that were included in our sample. And this chart reflects the change in those project schedules and how much more time was added to the projects and how the trend days that AECOM applied to the schedule relate to that.

And part of our analysis was to take projects and to go and obtain the actual construction

Page 40

Page 37

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

schedules produced by the contractors and compare those to the newly re-baselined schedules and in these three instances, just three examples of our 10 examples, which are really a part of the 240 project population, it was interesting to us that construction contractors would have agreed to complete these projects two years, one year before the new re-baseline schedule actually had them being completed.

1

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we provide that information in the observation as some context to drive this risk that we believe existed and as a result of the lack of the supporting documentation being provided, and that's that there was the potential that maybe some of these projects had their schedule extended and maybe they were not accurate.

So we recommended that OFC work with Atkins to perform an analysis because we believe that they understand the project schedules better than RSM, an auditor, ever could. We only looked at 10 projects and there's a substantial number of other projects that were not subject to our procedures. And so we wanted management to provide their comments as to whether or not they

comment as well.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Do you guys want to comment before we ask questions? No?

MS. LANGAN: I think our management response is our comments.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. All right. Any questions? Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Possibly less question, more comment.

> So before the re-baselining was done or around the time AECOM came on you guys may remember seeing my scatter graphs where I got from Atkins a slippage report for the prior re-baselining from December 2018 through June 2020 just to see whether projects were slipping. Because we would keep asking and it would seem that they were.

So when I crunched the numbers, the majority, and I can hand this around, I think RSM's seen this before, but the majority of projects, everything below the line are projects and by how much they slipped. We had a couple of projects that slipped to almost 800 days. And these are all the projects. So I actually looked up, for example, Park or Pines -- is it Pines Lakes

Page 38

felt like there were candidates that could potentially have inaccurate baseline schedules as a result of these trends that had been applied.

In management's response it's clear that they conducted an analysis of projects that had been closed and they felt like, based on the results of that analysis, the trend days were -- I won't say accurate, but they were equally inaccurate as they were accurate, and so OFC's position is that the trending was appropriate and that they do not have any recommended modifications to existing project schedules.

So I really see this as an observation of lack of supporting documentation, which is a common audit observation, and management has confirmed through their procedures that they feel comfortable with this. So I would see this as a closed observation, dead on arrival, I guess you could say. But it took us a long time to get here and we appreciate everybody's cooperation in this process and, you know, I'm happy to answer any questions about the analysis that we performed.

And, obviously, I don't want to put words in OFC or AECOM's mouth, so I'm happy for them to Elementary? That has slipped 300 days by June

2020. So, as I was saying back then, either those schedules were never realistic, the people in charge of the program didn't know how to run the program, or, C, both A and B were true.

So it was no surprise to me, if you just randomly tacked on six months, two years to any schedule you couldn't go too far wrong because, this. So first you have to either keep going with the nonsensical schedule or admit that everything's behind schedule for one reason or another.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So when -- this is starting from when, the original bond?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, when they re-baselined in 2018. Or, for God's sake, if we went from the original dates that were promised we would be five years behind schedule on some schools.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. The other thing -- and I don't mean to interrupt you, but do we need to include this (indicating) as part of our minutes or as part of the --

MR. JABOUIN: Yes. I will include that as an

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attachment. So when the agenda is put online later on, this document will be an additional document.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: I just want to make sure it's included.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm happy to provide the source document as well.

But anyway, so my point being, that when -when AECOM re-baselined and then there were other things at play -- the reasons for delays have evolved since 2014. First it was let's rush to -- well, first it starts with we didn't ask for enough money. Then you put out projects and shove them out into the atmosphere. I mean Procurement was meeting -- nothing happened for about the first year and a half and then everything happened. No well-defined scopes. They never looked at -- and we know from the roofing audit, we're putting 30-year roofs on buildings that can't even hold them up. I give you Rickards. And many other buildings. So there was no discussion, no analysis. So then people were doing things that -- front-end work in the middle of the project, at the end, and then everyone was going with these 2023 dates

Page 43

So basically we have 69 percent where you've added trend and they were even further behind or they weren't added and the projects still finished late, which means that you have the majority of the projects where the schedules -the original schedule didn't make any sense.

So it sounds as though from the conclusion here on page 9 that everybody is now on the same page and we can finally stop having AECOM have to defend left, right and center adding on to these schedules. Because, understand, that there are board members who desperately want 2023 to be a real end date for these project, but it's just not realistic because the thing was set up to fail at the front end. And it's not -- you can't have this second program manager held accountable for what was happening and nobody was jumping up and down when all of this was happening. I didn't hear board members, I didn't hear any audits about these schedules.

So what was happening, because we would get the reports on a monthly basis and you would have substantial completion dates still on the schedule that were from three, six months ago, because Heery wasn't updating when things were

Page 42

which were not realistic. So then they had to come along and look at where things were. Because you may have had something that was slated to be done later but was blowing up and then had to be done sooner.

So I just had people asking about their school, and I realized that across the district what needs to be explained to people is, if they're looking at the old schedule, they need to understand that things were falling behind from day one.

So the page -- and I mentioned to Mr. Luker that I think they buried the lead, because on page 8, because I was reading this sort of fuming, which is why I got this out (indicating), but on page 8 it looks like with OCP they looked at 36 completed projects, 25 percent -- and these were only 36 projects, but 25 percent completed on time or early, I'm gonna guess where some of those were, 25 percent trends added which were not large enough, which means that they were behind, trends were not added 44 percent and projects finished late, hence more of this, and then 6 percent trends were added which were approximately correct.

Page 44

actually getting completed or when something had slipped.

So there was a lot of sort of laissez-faire program management going on and now we're having come to Jesus moments about all of them, but this is not the biggest problem.

So I'm glad that we're now all on the same page and I hope that's the end of hearing about asking the Council of Great City Schools to come in and evaluate how the program management is being done and this, that and the other, because OCP should be helping facilitate salvaging the projects that actually need to be done. We have projects that don't have funding. The Atkins risk assessment, very conservatively, there's 26 million as of December in projects for which they have estimates that haven't changed much and that are pretty accurate, but they haven't come to the board for award yet, so the board still has them on the books as a 2014 project estimate, which is dangerous as the board reserves shrink every day and now they're going to do the next DEFP, and if they don't address it in that one they're going to be spending money they don't have and those projects that haven't been awarded yet are in

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

danger of not being fully funded.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those are the real problems, not looking for reasons to justify project schedules and trying to move them up.

It's an election year. I get it. But that's not AECOM's problem. That's not any of our problem either. They're going to have to live with setting this thing up to fail and this is a salvage operation at this point. There's no fixing the bond program. There's no magic answer. You just have to battle through, stop putting 30-year roofs on buildings that you have to then demolish. That was the plan -- Parkway got 4 million from the bond. They need 37 to 40 to replace buildings that were supposed to be replaced pre-recession. Bethune Elementary in Hollywood, same problem. Bennett, same problem. And Stranahan, don't get me started because then I'll start talking about the CCC and violating that.

So I'm glad that it would seem that OCP is finally on the same page with AECOM and Atkins and we're having a kumbaya moment and we can stop nit-picking and move forward with OCP supporting and facilitating, because we have all these

Page 47

And I may just be an average person sitting in the community who doesn't know anything, but you know what, I would like to hear a justification for why these things happened. And I don't know how -- we trend it out, we can do whatever you all want to do, but I would like to see some paperwork to substantiate anything.

So I don't think that's a minor finding when you find, and I appreciate everything you do, I don't think that's a minor finding. I think that's an important finding to know that we are seeing these reports come forward without the paperwork behind them. And I know -- I know that can happen. I just would like to hear -- I would like to give you a chance to tell us how that happened.

MS. LANGAN: I think the difference is is that we sat for six weeks trending based on this district and how -- what the business practice is, if you will, of this district, how that drives the schedules in this district on projects.

We have right now probably 145 projects under construction. We moved -- and this isn't answering your question yet but I'd like to get

Page 46

construction managers that are supposed to be helping move things through the processes that are holding up progress.

Yes, I'm a little riled up about this because I've had to live with it since before the bond.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody else?

MS. FERTIG: Well, if you think you've had to live with it, how do you think those kids at Northeast feel? The facilities department bid out a roof in 2013 where they took away their funding, where they stopped -- where it was then declared an emergency to have a roof where those roofs, if you walk over there today are still being worked on.

I'm sorry. At some point we can't just say, well, this is how long it takes. It takes 10 years. So that we make the school that we used as the poster child for this bond receiving roofs put on every single TV station in the county, in the tri-county area, every one of them saw that leaking roof and it's still not fixed.

So I'm looking at this and I would like to see some records kept of everything. Of every decision you make, I would like to see it substantiated.

Page 48

it on the record, that our schedule, we have moved 19 projects ahead of schedule. We have developed a roof carve-out program to address the exact situation that you're talking about. We have had buildings that have been going since 2014 with roofs that haven't been addressed. Case in point is Deerfield Beach Middle School, where I visited that site based on a phone call from the principal and the media center where people were housed and kids were in was failing because the roof was caving in, so we immediately got them out of there and we immediately put together a roof carve-out program to move ahead on those roofs. So we do that frequently. If there's a roofing problem we move in and we will carve that out.

A problem that we're having is the fact that we've lost two more roofers due to the fact that they don't want to work in this district, for a lot of reasons. So I'll just say that. But getting to your point --

MS. FERTIG: I'd like to stop there. I just think what you said was really important. So now we're getting to why your trend dates are increasing; okay? And, listen, if it's because

of the district's business practices, that's something that should be addressed.

1.0

MS. LANGAN: It is. I totally agree with you. But think about when we came on, we came in say September 1st of '20 and we started immediately working on all of our deliverables that were due within the first 90 days. We then looked at the schedule that CBRE-Heery had and we -- first of all, just to use that as a baseline tells us as professionals that people didn't understand this program or this schedule, because there's no way you could compare what was going on then to what we're doing now.

So what we did was, we had, say, 15 people -we are not accountants. We are not accountants.
We are construction managers, program managers
and builders. So we looked at the trends of what
was happening on this program, the business
practices of the district, and we looked at that
and said, okay, we have methodologies, it's just
not the methodologies that RSM would do; right?
We have our reports. This was a group of people
trying to get done for the state of the program
by December to have a schedule that we could
present to the school board, which we did. The

Page 51

Any time anybody wanted to know what our original schedule was when we baselined we have it, what was going on in 2018 and what we did. But every month we're studying this and every month, if the project is moving forward and it's on track to finish, we deduct trend. It's a process. It's not a here and now.

So the paperwork is here. If you are -- if you would like to come in and we can sit down and review it with our scheduler. We work with Sam and his team to go through the schedule. I mean, I don't know what else we can explain. We are the professionals that were hired to do this and be realistic and tell you transparently what is going on in your program. And we do that monthly. We tell you what's behind, what's been moved up. As long as our end date doesn't change, that's our focus. So we're trying to constantly, you know, improve, get schedules done. We brought swing space on. We have some heck of a projects coming up, big projects, total HVAC replacement. When you talk about a trend, wrong, right, that's inaccurate in our way of thinking, because you're making assumptions, you're forecasting; right? Well, if you come

Page 50

school board had concerns that it was going out too far, we went back and for another two months restudied it and came back and we were able to save about six months of time by moving some projects around.

So this is -- this is nothing that happens with paperwork. We don't have one plus one equals two calculations. We have explained the process that we went through. After the fact, well, I wasn't taking notes while we were doing it. We were up on the board, we had people, we had our project managers, we had construction people, all talking about what we had to do and how long it was going to take to do it.

So the concept that we don't have paperwork is not accurate. We don't have the paperwork that an auditor, that they would want. It's all here. Everything is here. We explain how we did it, we organized the projects by size. When we looked at trends for change orders we -- it's all here. And that's why I said our response is very complete and very comprehensive.

So I -- you know, this is an ongoing piece of work. You don't just make a schedule and, boom, that's it. You have a baseline, which we have .

Page 52

onto a renovation project and it's a 4 million or \$3 million HVAC job, look what happened at Ramblewood. I mean, these are serious things that we're dealing with all the time, constantly looking at. If we bring trailers in to house kids rather than having what happened at Ramblewood, that's been unbelievable to those kids, if we bring trailers in, Margate middle school, we can save 400 days by bringing in swing space onto that site and we can show where we can save money. These things are constantly being done. The roof program, working at night on roofs, torching at night, we've brought in all these concepts to help ourselves and do better on the schedule.

MS. FERTIG: And I think that's -- can I respond, Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes, you may.

MS. FERTIG: I think that's great. I'm glad you're laying some of this out, and particularly the business practices of the district, which I would just like to say, if that's the one thing we've come out with today that someone could follow up on, then that justifies this whole audit.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But, you know, and this is not your problem, but I sat here and I listened to CBRE-Heery say the same things and I've listened to a whole bunch of people in this district talk about how they're trying to -- and the final analysis, the final analysis, I think we have to do everything we can, and I know you are, because I just heard you give a very passionate speech, to make these projects come in faster. And I would just like to go beyond these trends and say, why, why is this happening? And, by the way, don't tell us about it because Dr. Lynch-Walsh, Rebecca Dahl, we sat here every day, every week for weeks when they were planning this bond, and we told them, when you get into the walls of these schools, this is what you're gonna find. And by the way, some of the people in your facilities department, and Mr. Bays I know you know this, so I'll just say it for you and save some time, yeah, we sat with them and said, when you get into the walls of the cafeteria of Stranahan this is what you're gonna find, you know what, they didn't believe us, they didn't put it on the schedule. Go to the minutes of the project charter meeting, go to all these other things where you had community

1

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 55

paperwork and I also think we have to identify and go beyond what those trends are and identify why it's happening.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Just before you guys respond, Dr. Walsh. And let's just keep in mind the timeframe so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We're doing really well. It's 12:30, actually.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I know. I just want to --DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, again, there are processes that are still manual that should have been addressed at the front end. So the building department, they are using a software program that's archaic that I think they don't even have support for. So there are a lot of -- it's not just business practices.

Hear me when I say there was no planning. As we sat there in 2014 in the summer, that should have been, let's take the needs assessment results, which I have a public records request in for the final report from Jacobs, it doesn't seem to exist and nobody wants to admit it doesn't exist. There was an initial report when they were at 40 percent done with the needs assessment and that came in May, which I even sent to

Page 54

based people saying this. I mean, I'm talking about things in the eastern part of Broward, but you can go to any part of Broward County and find out that you had local advocates trying to tell you the same thing. Now we're sitting here all these years later and we're looking at trends that's going to take us out years and years and years and we're gonna run out of money and who's going to want to trust the school board to give more money? I've been doing this, I've been doing facilities stuff since 1987 and I saw people give money in '86 but they sure didn't want to give it again in '95; did they? No because they saw what was happening. And I think we have to do everything we can to make these trends better. And if you can identify something else besides business practices I suggest we identify it and get on it like yesterday.

And when you tell me two roofers don't want to work with this district, well, that's not a surprise. But I'm not going to say here, because we have a court reporter, so, Mr. Jabouin, I'll spare you saying why I think that some people don't want to work for this district, but I just -- I think we have to have the backup

Page 56

people, like, hey, this is kind of what you're looking for, they took the needs assessment results and pushed them into the DEFP, did not analyze anything. So there is a level of botched planning that there's no recovery from that. But then you compound it by having poorly designed processes, archaic processes, like paying vendors. There's so many steps and people involved in the accounts payable process it would make your head spin, and, yeah, a lot of paper, which should have been addressed when we started talking about Maximo, that should have handled some of that, but there was never a sit-down about, okay, what do we have that limits our ability to push out this degree of work? The building department is still largely paper based and didn't have enough people in it. They didn't ever address the building department. If you look at Heery's agreement with the district and search for the word building department, I think it might appear once. They never looked at how the building department fit into this process until they slammed right up against the wall. And that's a recipe for disaster. So there are things that they're dealing

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 57

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with, they can only fix so many of them, because these are constraints that are deeply rooted, you can't change them overnight, you can't fire everybody and think you're gonna fix it overnight, you can't automate the process overnight. We can't even get things through CORP in a reasonable amount of time. Hopefully, that will change. But that's like one holdup. But then you know when the board approves things you work backwards from it.

1

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So there's no one simple answer. It is a complete failure, this bond program. And AECOM has to keep addressing projects that weren't even in the bond because they never made it into the needs assessment. Imagine that you do the budget for Parkway and pretend not to notice all of these buildings that are now slated for demolition and replacement. Stranahan's cafeteria, the architect, which that's another thing, at the front end the architectural review that was done, which there was an RSM audit to speak to that, provided at no added value because the reviews were being done in Atlanta by people that didn't know the codes. So while they added time to the schedule they didn't add any value.

living with today.

But I've also heard Derek Messier, he was going to be the savior, all this planning did take place. Now, what I'm saying to you because you're the latest person to sit here and say this planning has taken place, we could go through every one of these people, we could go through the Heery people. We had an audit on the building department, on the software. We've had audits on all these things showing that we're using paper instead of technology that's available to us, and my question is, when are we going to implement the things that we know are wrong? When are we fixing our business practices? When are we fixing -- when are we making those conversions to the technology that's available but not done that we hear about in audit after audit? When is that gonna happen? And I think that will have a direct impact on how long it takes to get our projects done.

And I guess my final question to you, if we were to do the things that we've been told we should do, would that make a difference?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Go ahead.

MS. LANGAN: Through the Chair, I'm not even

Page 58

So they're being held with their feet to the fire to try to speed up the completion of projects that have had, if there's nine ways to screw up a project timeline or 10 the district found nine ways to mess it up not excluding the front. And then you compound it with the market bouncing back and now the pandemic and supply chain delays which does create problems, trying to get HVAC parts is a problem, and HVAC is a huge part of the program, so I don't know if I need to write a white paper to explain this --

MS. FERTIG: I really don't think -- I think many of us have actually lived this. Many of us sat there in 2014. Many of us have gone to every DEFP hearing. Many of us have -- and I did go to several project charter committee meetings, so, yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And those were Heery people.

MR. MAYERSOHN: One at a time.

MS. FERTIG: What I'm trying to say is, I'm tired of hearing blame. I mean, I personally feel it was a mistake to disband the facilities department and everybody here knows I feel that way. I feel that what happened in 2013 we are

Page 60

sure how to respond to that. When we have identified all of the challenges that we all have and it's not just the business practices, it's the market conditions that we're up against, ti's the lack of bidders we're getting. I mean, Shari could comment on this, but we're not getting the bidders that, you know, we would like to have because the market is saturated. Think about ESSER. Think about how we're going to get ESSER done. ESSER III, with \$90 million in three years to fix all of the HVAC problems.

I mean, again, most of this that you're looking for is documented in our response through the documents that we sent to RSM. Are they a mathematical equation response? They are not. But we are happy to sit down and show you documented reasons why the trends are there.

Again, these are forecasts that we watch very closely. I think, you know, one of the differences between maybe us and CBRE -- I'm not blaming anybody because it doesn't do us any good. I don't want to sit here and pick the building department or pick, you know, the fact that we don't have the e-Builder, all these things we're working on. Have they taken longer

Page 61

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

than we want? Absolutely, they have. I don't know how much faster or harder I whip the people that work for me. But all of the answers are here and I'm happy to sit down and share with you exactly what we looked at and exactly what we

1

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our recommendations since December of last year and February, we have met with the board, we've looked at different things, we've looked at change orders. The change order process is really what it is. I don't even want to blame anything that relates to the board. Because, as the board has told us, they will meet as often as we want them to meet to approve change orders. So it's not them. The building department, I just met with the building department this morning to talk with the chief building official on how we can control the change orders that are coming out of inspections. They are tremendous. And we have to stop. We have to look at it more strategically instead of just sign-o-matic with change orders.

So, believe me, we do things every day and we think we're very transparent with the district the way we report. You guys know exactly what's

to figure out what the documentation should be

and determine if there is further auditing that should be done to evaluate these baselines and

these re-baselining trends.

I'd like to see in a report like this, what are the norms? What does the industry show? I mean, especially in construction, there's -- it's notorious for, you know, going over the commitment date for the end of the project.

I also want to say, this district is fortunate to have committee members who serve in other capacities for the district that are on top of this. Really, it's -- we are very fortunate.

That's all I've got to say.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Go ahead, Ms. Shaw? MS. SHAW: Good afternoon everyone, Phyllis Shaw. Obviously we have an issue and it's an issue that has continued. And, you know, one of the things we learn as leaders, regardless of what field we are in is, change your thinking, change your thought process and we change our outcome. We no longer have the department that could maintain and control everything that's going on, and everyone is saying, well, I'm doing my job. But the problem is, have you taken a

Page 62

happening on every job every day and what we're doing to try to improve every job every day.

So I just want to let you know it's here and if there's anything else that you would like to look at or ask me about, I'm here.

MR. DE MEO: Through the Chair?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: Okay.

MS. SHAW: Mr. Chair?

MR. MAYERSOHN: One minute, Ms. Shaw, Mr. De Meo and then you can chime in.

MR. DE MEO: I would like Mr. Luker and Joris perhaps to get together and figure out what the documentation should be --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Is your microphone on? MR. DE MEO: Oh, sorry. What the documentation should be for the creation of these baselines. As I read this report, the reason these baselines were subjected to the auditing procedures was because they seem to be inaccurate. I don't know how a forecast can be inaccurate. But it can be within norms. And I

don't know what those norms are. I don't know what the best practices are. But perhaps Mr. Luker and Joris, you can meet with these people Page 64

step back and looked, how well is my job working, because if there are -- if we're still having constant issues, that means the job is not being done or the job that you're doing is not working. And I think, Mary, we keep talking about the same thing and we're not making any progress and we keep going through the same process over and over and over again. And so I don't want to know what we have done, I want to know what we're going to do to fix this going forward. And maybe it's not an answer today, maybe it's let's get together with my team and other aspects of other team members and pull from other areas of the district and figure out how can we not continue to go through the same thing? It's costing us money, our children are suffering, our community is suffering. But let's figure out how do we move from where we are so we can change the dialogue, so we can change the conversation. Because it's the same conversation that we're having and if we continue we're going to be here until 4:00 and we'll have no resolve. So let's -- and that's what I want to know. What are we going to do moving forward? Not what one person is doing and the next person is doing. I want to know the

Page 65 Page 67 1 whole. It's the whole that I want. Because important. And if that came out of this audit, 2 that's the only way to know that change is coming then that was worth everything you did. and everybody is thinking together, you know, not And so I move to transmit and can we move to piece by piece. transmit with that -- with that suggestion from Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. De Meo to find out what the norms are? MR. MAYERSOHN: You're welcome. MR. MAYERSOHN: You can make a motion to do Mr. Jabouin? anything you want. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. I just wanted to MR. BARNES: Mr. Chair? say very quickly, the auditors are looking for MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes, Mr. Barnes. 1.0 information that supports statements that were MR. BARNES: When we come back for the next 11 11 made. So when it comes to the trends they wanted report include a glossary of terms. You know, I 12 12 to go ahead and meet and behind the wording an see, you know, a lot of shortcuts and some of 13 13 understanding of how it was calculated. If there them I know and some of them I don't. So if we 14 14 was information that they found that was could just have a glossary of terms of what some 15 15 different, they want to know how it was of these numbers stand for -- not numbers but 16 16 incorporated into the calculations. lettering, three letters here, two letters, four, 17 17 Now, as the report was coming together I did it would be very helpful. 18 18 meet with Ms. Langan and Mr. Luker and I think MR. MAYERSOHN: We can do it outside this --19 19 that now there's an understanding of how that I mean, Mr. Jabouin, you can just do that, so 20 would work out going forward. I think we gained 20 this way --21 21 an understanding on some of the challenges that MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Right. 22 22 AECOM was experiencing. It does make sense that MR. MAYERSOHN: So, Ms. Fertig, you were 23 23 they were under a lot of pressure to be able to going to make a motion to transmit with a --24 24 get the work done timely. And since they don't MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I was going to move to 25 25 know -- lay people do not necessarily know the transmit with a suggestion that the district Page 66 Page 68 1 1 documentation standards that people in the determine what the norms would be for these profession do, we can understand that they were trends in the industry. Does that reflect it, done very quickly. But I think going forward we Mr. De Meo? should make sure that it is extremely clear as to MR. DE MEO: Yes. what documentation is expected on this report as MR. MAYERSOHN: Do I have a second? well as future reports. 6 MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, so second. 7 MR. MAYERSOHN: Any other comments? MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. 8 MR. JABOUIN: So I just want to confirm, the (No response.) 9 MR. MAYERSOHN: Seeing none, do we have a wording is that the district determines what the 10 10 motion to transmit, which is probably a Mary norm and trends are for the industry. Okay. 11 11 Fertig motion? MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes, Mr. Bays. 12 12 MR. JABOUIN: Yeah. I have a motion to MR. BAYS: Thank you, sir. Certainly 13 13 transmit. I don't want to lose what either Mr. understand the -- the overarching urgency of what 14 14 De Meo said or what Ms. Shaw just said. I think we do and how we are viewed by the public and the 15 15 coming up with -very real impact that every -- every action taken 16 MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, second the motion to 16 here has on the public's perception of the 17 17 viability of school construction in Broward 1.8 18 MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. When we're ready. County. So clearly we have to do better going 19 19 forward. I think if you just drill down 20 20 MS. FERTIG: I think they both just said some everything said here today, that's really what 21 21 great things. What are norms and what -that amounts to. We were asked to look at a very

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

Phyllis, you spoke beautifully. We don't know

the future's going to be, I don't want to lose

those thoughts because I think they're really

what we -- you know, what the past is, but what

broad topic and see if trends made sense. We did

analysis and we determined that indeed they made

sense. On the overarching goal of getting done

some financial -- or we did some quantitative

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

norms.

here at the end of 2025, in the fourth quarter of calendar year 2025, that's where it's applicable, not on any one particular project. It has utility in the health of the program.

I would only caution that we're -- we're really, really building the plane as we are flying. You've heard a great deal about challenges today and creating another project, however useful, that doesn't effectively move that end date, might not be a resource you wish to use. And I don't know of anything to move that end date except more of the same, more prosecution of the work, more innovative solutions like AECOM has been bringing, more of everything onsite. So I'll stop there.

Thank you, sir.

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. I think what Ms. Fertig was explaining in the sense of trends is, and this is my observation, is that, let's say there is a supply shortage that -- and that's a national trend, that if your response is the reason why these projects are delayed is because we can't get concrete, then it matches up. If the national issue is concrete is abundant and it's available and the district is still using

Page 71

Page 72

because I really feel like if we send the team down the road of trying to benchmark us against other districts it's gonna be hard for us to find comparable districts and it's going to be very hard to find any one area of construction or design that we can truly baseline ourselves against. I think that's why our objective was to go on a school by school basis and say, what are the justifications for why we think design is going to take longer, construction is going to take longer and try to understand and receive the narratives and the explanation for why that is rather than try to measure it against some type of standard.

So those are just my thoughts and I appreciate the opportunity to share.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Fertig, since it's your motion, then I'll go to Dr. Lynch-Walsh.

MS. FERTIG: And so I'll turn my microphone back on for you here. And I appreciate that and I can see that we're not like any other district, obviously, but I also think we've talked about a number of things that you've identified today that are really creating an ongoing issue for our district and getting projects done in a timely

Page 70

the same response of we can't get concrete, that's where the audit, and, Mr. Luker, you can kind of help me out here, that's where you're looking at the those trends that are supported by documentation. And I think that's kind of more of the issue.

MR. LUKER: Yeah, I just -- I appreciate the opportunity to provide what I think about this. I think that identifying trends in the context that is being discussed here is going to be very difficult. Every project is different. Every school district is different. Every market condition, quality of construction professionals is different. And AECOM classified their modification of the schedule into trend buckets, which, frankly, can really point us to where the operational challenges are. They've put it into those buckets because that's where they're seeing failure in those buckets. And so to try to benchmark ourselves against something else I think is, probably to Sam's point, not going to move the ball closer to changing the end date for any of those schools.

And so I would maybe challenge us to maybe slightly modify what the recommendation is here

manner. And so maybe we substitute those for

But the identification of those rather than just sitting here and saying one more time, it's business practices, we don't pay on time, we don't do this, nobody wants to work for us, and all of those things, I'd just kind of like to see a short list of the top five and what we're gonna actually do about it. Because that conversation has been going on through every consultant. Every consultant blames the previous one. If it was the facilities department of old, the new project manager would blame the old project manager.

At some point there have to be some systemic failures that we can identify and do something about and I would like to take it away from blaming a person or a company and put it to actually what systemically is causing the problems. And that's what I saw with what Mr. De Meo said and having an idea of what other districts, but if you want to change those words to identify what the systemic failures have been that have created these delays, hey, that's great, too.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MS. LANGAN: If I may, through the Chair?
MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. Before -- we have eight minutes before we lose some people. So if we're going to make a motion and we're going to pass it on I just want to state that. So --

MR. DE MEO: You know, sometimes there aren't standards. Sometimes you can't get a baseline, you can't compare it. It may not move the end date. That may not be an effective means of --but what we need to do is at least work together to establish expectations and to analyze the root cause of the problem. Ms. Fertig said let's look at the top 10 and see what the trends are or the top five and then compare that to the process.

So there's two parts here. One, what is the documentation that should support the -- in a collaborative way support these baselines and these trends and re-baselining? Okay. That's one thing that was brought up. Now, we need to all agree on what that should be and I think Joris should be the person to facilitate that. The other is, there has to be a better look at these trends and what is causing the disparity between what is expected and what occurs. It just can't go on year, after year, after year.

Page 75

be improved. To your point, Ms. Fertig, around where we have opportunities to get out of this manual environment, update our technology, add staff to our team to increase the turnaround time of our reviews, I think that was an effective analysis of one of those trend areas. AECOM I know is working on change orders where they have re-engineered the process for how e-Builder will be used and how the change orders will flow through the approval process in hopes of making that process more efficient and faster.

So I would say that, just based on my experience with the district going on 11 years now, I've seen more activity in these key areas where we know we've had failures in the program. I've seen more activity in the last year than I have in all 10 that I've been auditing the district. I think that it perhaps isn't easily identified in a single place, a single report where it kind of provides this comprehensive overview, but at least the roofing process analysis is one example where I think we went through that process that you're speaking to for one of those 10 one of those five areas and perhaps there are other areas where there's

Page 74

There has to be some better critical analysis.

I don't know how to capture that in a motion,

but that's how I feel.

1.0

MS. LANGAN: If I may? I'm sorry.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, I understand, but let me do this systematically.

MS. LANGAN: Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So Mr. Luker, Dr. Walsh, Ms. Langan, Ms. Dahl.

MR. LUKER: Thank you.

WIK. EUKER. Thank you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: All within -- all within five minutes. Go ahead.

minutes. Go ahead

MR. LUKER: So I mentioned earlier that I thought that AECOM has pretty accurately categorized the failings into those five major trends that they identified and I would say that the roofing permitting analysis that we performed as an audit several months back specifically addresses trends to the roofing sub-permitting trend that AECOM applied where they added on average 175 days to every project that still had to go through roofing sub-permit. And so our analysis of that process mapped out the process, identified where the delays were occurring and provided some recommendations on how that might

Page 76

opportunity to do that. But I do know that there are initiatives in almost all of these areas, you know, that AECOM has identified as a trend area.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And this may never happen again but I'm actually agreeing with Mr. Bays.

MR. MAYERSOHN: For the record.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: For the record. Because you have -- and just to clarify, we have two program managers in this district. We have the PM/OR which is the program manager owner's rep, not the project manager of record, and we have with the CPCM, which does cost and program controls, and they, in addition, both of them do tons of pages of monthly reports that I and maybe a handful of people actually read every month, but I do send them to everybody for the purpose of, you know, if you're bored, you can read them.

One of the things that Atkins does is a risk letter quarterly and it has general risks associated with the program and sometimes they touch on these different trend risks in terms of materials and I think you have touched on most of these.

2

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So to Mr. Luker's point, you have RSM, the Facilities Task Force and the program managers all saying that what you're asking for has pretty much happened already and maybe Atkins can do more fine-tuning of this in the next monthly report or in a risk letter. But to have everyone go and turn themselves inside out benchmarking when these are the things that have been failing for reasons I keep mentioning that occurred at the front end, and even e-Builder, which if you don't understand the challenges there, that e-Builder was supposed to be the be all and end all, but when the district adopted it, they didn't even incorporate all of the modules they needed and it took months just to get a script so that they could do forecasting because everything that was going into e-Builder instead of raw data going in, they were uploading a PDF into e-Builder. Well, you can't analyze a PDF, so that was years lost and data lost because that wasn't being managed. So there were multiple opportunities along the way where things have all brought us to where we are now, and, yes, it is -- these areas are problematic, but it doesn't mean that at the front end there wasn't a larger

Page 79

Page 80

officially today, because there's -- this is an enormous issue and there's no way to solve it really and I feel that she should have been here today. I hope that she would listen to this part of the tape for today because the energy and the concern from the people sitting around this table is real. I'm tired of looking at this since 2014. It needs to be fixed. It won't be fixed. Thank you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Langan.

MS. LANGAN: I was just going to reiterate what's already been said. I would just say that, as an example, that 175-day trend for roofing permits runs as long as we are working to get that roofing permit approved. The day that it gets approved the schedule gets updated and the trend goes away, whatever is left in that trend. It's trend management on a monthly basis.

So this isn't extending schedules out falsely. This is, you know, and when you think about the design reviews, they were trending 12 to 14 design reviews, the building department was, back and forth with architects. No ones fault, just that's what was happening. So when we looked at it, we looked at different

Page 78

approaches of how to fix that. We formed an

onsite design group. And that design group is responsible to ensure we scheduled five reviews.

That's what we did as a corrective measure.

Every one of these trends that we have here we

have instituted a corrective measure. Now there are more trends. We have market conditions. We

have supply issues. We note those in our monthly

report and if we see that it's beginning to

impact the projects we indicate.

So I just want to assure everybody that if they like at all this data that I -- I actually finally understand what Mr. Luker was looking

MR. MAYERSOHN: There you go. 16 MS. LANGAN: But thank you. Thank you.

That's all.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Fertig?

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Well, I'm going to, in the interest of time, move that we transmit.

MR. MAYERSOHN: You already made the motion.

MS. FERTIG: I did.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Are you withdrawing the --MS. FERTIG: Well, I'm going to withdraw the

norms if Mr. Luker doesn't feel that's a

problem.

1

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think that if everyone hasn't read the risk letter, the last one, that one should be required reading because it does get into, market analysis is one of the things that's covered in there, so --

MR. MAYERSOHN: We still have -- wait. We still have quorum.

Goodbye, Mr. Sabin. Goodbye, Mr. De Meo. (Mr. Sabin and Mr. De Meo exited the proceedings.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I would think getting more information -- I'm trying to use up the minutes we have left. Getting more details on these trends would be more useful than sending everyone down the rabbit hole. And I'm speaking as someone who deals with this information on a monthly basis.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. I'll let Ms. Dahl go and then --

MS. DAHL: And my comment is going to be very short.

I think it's too bad that Dr. Cartwright isn't here today to hear what kind of mess she was handed when she became a superintendent

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reasonable thing to ask, but I'd like to ask something, because, otherwise, we're going to be back here in two years and we're going to hear it's because of something. And at some point --I'm happy to -- I know we've got a time crunch so we can make a motion to transmit and we've got good minutes of this and so I will make sure that the person I represent gets those minutes, I'm sure you'll do the same thing, but.

1

2

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: I guess my point to your point is that, can we bring this back in May or June at least to get an update to see how this is all working out?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I mean, I'd love to have an update on the roofing one to see what's been done on that.

MR. JABOUIN: The roofing one is --MR. MAYERSOHN: Is it scheduled to be updated?

MR. JABOUIN: It's on the radar to be -- to be reviewed.

As far as the discussion on the trends, I'm getting the feeling that that information is there, there's a lot of analysis that's being done and provided. And, obviously, Mrs. Langan Page 83

so until we actually delve into it and say what 2 are we going to change about the way we do things and make it so a simple person like myself can understand it, then I think we have a real problem with public perception.

> So I'm happy to make a motion to transmit, so Ms. Shaw is probably still online.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Shaw, are you --MR. JABOUIN: My second still stands. I know there was already a motion.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So we made a motion to transmit. Is there any further discussion, Mr. Luker?

MR. JABOUIN: Just a standard motion to transmit?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Just a standard motion to transmit. I'm sure we'll be looking at this again just to update any comments or whatever so we want to just keep progressing.

So all those in favor signify by saying aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody opposed?

(No response.)

24 MR. MAYERSOHN: The ayes have it. 25 All right last item, any comments from

Page 82

understands how the documentation would work going forward.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So I think we're okay on the trends and I understand what you're saying.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I think we hear a lot of excuses here, not excuses, and I don't want you to take it that way, but I've been doing this, I know some people in here have been doing it for a certain amount of time, I've been doing it in facilities since 1987, okay, and I can point to a lot of specific projects, I've heard a lot of stories, a lot of things. I so appreciate what RSM has done for this district in pointing things out. My concern is that we have these conversations and then the same problem comes back in a year, two years, three years, and we're still hearing it. And meanwhile, just to make this what it is, some child is sitting under a leaky roof.

So I don't think that I should be sitting here in 2022 when I can show you pictures of my husband bouncing on the roof at Northeast High School in 2013 and still knowing that those roofs are -- I know they're being worked on, but they're not done, and that's not acceptable. And Page 84

committee members?

Dr. Lynch-Walsh, surprise.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Threat assessment audit. Policy 2130 was discussed by the board at the workshop last Tuesday, which I think was a couple days ago. The motion we passed, none of it made it into the policy. Didn't make it into the policy about OSPA being equally responsible. The training for volunteers, they're gonna give volunteers information, which is not quite the same as training, at least not on my planet. I forget offhand what the third thing was. Oh, the quarterly report, surprise, surprise, it doesn't mention compliance. So supposedly, and this is a point that needs clarification, this fiscal year is the one of interest. Last year all the kids, most of the kids were home. But if you're gonna do an audit of last year we also need sooner rather than later to see this year.

It's my understanding that one of the board members asked you, Mr. Jabouin, whether you'd be auditing this fiscal year and whether you would have it at year end. Did you mean to audit this year, you were including that -- it was Ms. Alhadeff.

			22 (Pages 85 to 88)
	Page 85		Page 87
1	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Alhadeff's question was	1	MR. MAYERSOHN: Can you and I don't know
2	regarding school year '21 and I responded that	2	whether it's your connection or whatever, but Mr.
3	that report would be	3	Bass
4	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And that's what I told her,	4	MS. SHAW: It's a district phone.
5	that there would be sudden communication.	5	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. But Mr. Bass is having
6	Because the thing of it is, if we don't audit	6	a difficult time in hearing you.
7	this year soon, so we have it, which was what I	7	MS. SHAW: Oh, I'm just saying compliments to
8	was aiming for, the quarterly report just tells	8	Dr. Wanza and the team for such great audits, the
9	you how many, but it doesn't tell you anyone's in	9	internal funds audit and the property inventory
10	compliance. So a quarterly report is not a	10	audit. You know, we have watched these reports
11	substitution for an audit. So how long would we	11	and we have come a long way and I just want to
12	then wait to know what happened this year? When	12	compliment them and keep up the great work. I
13	would that get audited? Because if it isn't	13	look forward to reading these audits now because
14	happening in real time, we now have a new	14	I don't have to sit and cringe in my seat. And I
15	superintendent and there shouldn't even be a	15	look at some of my favorite schools well,
16	difference between what was happening before when	16	they're all my favorite schools, so compliments
17	we were interim and what's happening because	17	to them, keep up the great job and thank you for
18	she's going to have some goals and if one of them	18	everything that you and your team are doing.
19	is bringing the district into compliance we need	19	MR. MAYERSOHN: That's it?
20	to have a baseline. And we can't have that if we	20	MS. SHAW: And of course our chief auditor's
21	have to wait another year to find out what	21	office, great job and keep doing what you do.
22	happened this year.	22	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Any other you got
23	So when would this year, especially perhaps	23	that; right? Okay.
24	even the first two quarters, get audited?	24	Any other comments?
25	MR. JABOUIN: So this is not an agenda item,	25	(No response.)
	Page 86		Page 88
1	so	1	MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Luker, thank you for
2	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's under my comments.	2	coming
3	MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to your	3	MS. DAHL: Yes, excellent.
4	comments, very briefly in the interest of time,	4	MR. MAYERSOHN: at the last meaning. We
5	there is fieldwork that is going on for school	5	appreciate it.
6	year 2021 that will conclude before any further	6	Mr. Fleming, or Dr. Fleming, I'm sure that
7	fieldwork is done. I will not report on the	7	you will provide a detailed responsive
8	timing of an ongoing audit at this time.	8	conversation with the superintendent.
9	Thank you.	9	Our next meeting is scheduled for
10	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Any other comments?	10	MR. JABOUIN: March 31st at 11 a.m.
11	(No response.)	11	MR. MAYERSOHN: March, and hopefully the
12	MS. SHAW: Phyllis.	12	superintendent will be here. I don't know if she
13	MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes, Ms. Shaw.	13	has a conflict that day, but I would like to
13	MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes, Ms. Shaw. MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr.	13 14	•
	,		has a conflict that day, but I would like to
14	MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr.	14	has a conflict that day, but I would like to and I think we all would like to see her here so
14 15	MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr. Wanza and the entire team for the internal audit	14 15	has a conflict that day, but I would like to and I think we all would like to see her here so that she can hear, I don't know what's on our
14 15 16	MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr. Wanza and the entire team for the internal audit and the property inventory clean audit report.	14 15 16	has a conflict that day, but I would like to and I think we all would like to see her here so that she can hear, I don't know what's on our agenda, but provide it more in person, no
14 15 16 17	MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr. Wanza and the entire team for the internal audit and the property inventory clean audit report. About five, seven years ago before our chief	14 15 16 17	has a conflict that day, but I would like to and I think we all would like to see her here so that she can hear, I don't know what's on our agenda, but provide it more in person, no offense, Dr. Fleming, but that she's here in
14 15 16 17	MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr. Wanza and the entire team for the internal audit and the property inventory clean audit report. About five, seven years ago before our chief auditor came on board the reports were just	14 15 16 17	has a conflict that day, but I would like to and I think we all would like to see her here so that she can hear, I don't know what's on our agenda, but provide it more in person, no offense, Dr. Fleming, but that she's here in person than through a third party, so we'd
14 15 16 17 18	MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr. Wanza and the entire team for the internal audit and the property inventory clean audit report. About five, seven years ago before our chief auditor came on board the reports were just absolutely horrible and I think we have made	14 15 16 17 18 19	has a conflict that day, but I would like to and I think we all would like to see her here so that she can hear, I don't know what's on our agenda, but provide it more in person, no offense, Dr. Fleming, but that she's here in person than through a third party, so we'd appreciate that.
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr. Wanza and the entire team for the internal audit and the property inventory clean audit report. About five, seven years ago before our chief auditor came on board the reports were just absolutely horrible and I think we have made they have made such great stride to the point	14 15 16 17 18 19	has a conflict that day, but I would like to and I think we all would like to see her here so that she can hear, I don't know what's on our agenda, but provide it more in person, no offense, Dr. Fleming, but that she's here in person than through a third party, so we'd appreciate that.  With that being said, do I have a motion to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr. Wanza and the entire team for the internal audit and the property inventory clean audit report. About five, seven years ago before our chief auditor came on board the reports were just absolutely horrible and I think we have made they have made such great stride to the point that these are	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has a conflict that day, but I would like to and I think we all would like to see her here so that she can hear, I don't know what's on our agenda, but provide it more in person, no offense, Dr. Fleming, but that she's here in person than through a third party, so we'd appreciate that.  With that being said, do I have a motion to adjourn?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. SHAW: I just want to compliment Dr. Wanza and the entire team for the internal audit and the property inventory clean audit report. About five, seven years ago before our chief auditor came on board the reports were just absolutely horrible and I think we have made they have made such great stride to the point that these are MR. MAYERSOHN: We can't we can't hold	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has a conflict that day, but I would like to and I think we all would like to see her here so that she can hear, I don't know what's on our agenda, but provide it more in person, no offense, Dr. Fleming, but that she's here in person than through a third party, so we'd appreciate that.  With that being said, do I have a motion to adjourn?  MS. DAHL: Please.

	Page 89	
1	time, so congratulations to everybody.	
2	MS. DAHL: Thank you for running a very good	
3	meeting.	
4	(Meeting was concluded at 1:12 p.m.)	
5	(,	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 90	
1		
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
_		
3	OT 1 TO 0 TO 0 DO 0	
4	STATE OF FLORIDA	
4 5	COUNTY OF BROWARD	
4	COUNTY OF BROWARD  I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary	
4 5 6	COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large,	
4 5 6 7	COUNTY OF BROWARD  I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary	
4 5 6 7 8	COUNTY OF BROWARD  I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COUNTY OF BROWARD  I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COUNTY OF BROWARD  I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof.  Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COUNTY OF BROWARD  I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COUNTY OF BROWARD  I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof.  Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. Dated this 2nd day of March, 2022, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	

A	advise 23:21	35:8 36:24 37:19
<b>a.m</b> 1:12 88:10	advisory 2:22 5:25	38:5,7,22 41:22
<b>ability</b> 56:15	6:3	53:5,6 68:24 74:1
<b>able</b> 6:6 50:3 65:23	advocates 54:4	74:17,23 75:6,22
<b>absolutely</b> 61:1 86:19	<b>AECOM</b> 2:22 14:3	78:5 81:24
<b>abundant</b> 69:24	17:24 18:6 34:10,19	<b>analyze</b> 56:4 73:11
acceptable 16:23	35:1,13 36:22 39:11	77:19
82:25	41:9 43:9 45:22	<b>Andrew</b> 2:2 4:1,3
Accountability 2:14	57:12 65:22 69:14	<b>Ann</b> 2:10 4:24
2:15 4:20	70:14 74:14,20 75:6	annual 6:2
accountable 43:16	76:3	answer 18:5,9 23:4
accountable 43.16	<b>AECOM's</b> 38:25	38:21 45:11 57:11
accounts 56:9	45:6	64:11
accurate 27:19 37:17	afternoon 63:16	answering 47:25
	agenda 5:10,20 7:24	answers 61:3
38:8,9 44:18 50:16	8:1,3,24 9:19 33:24	<b>Anthony</b> 2:4 3:17
accurately 74:14	41:1 85:25 88:16	anticipate 31:25
acknowledge 15:9	aggressive 18:18	anybody 5:18 8:16
acknowledgment	ago 25:11 43:24 84:6	9:14 11:24 13:11
5:25	86:17	29:17 32:7 33:20
Acting 2:15 4:18	agree 8:2 14:21 19:6	46:6 51:1 60:21
action 68:15	20:5 49:3 73:20	83:22
activity 75:14,16	agreed 37:6	anyone's 85:9
actual 35:6 36:11,25	agreeing 25:16 76:6	anyway 41:8
Adam 2:5 8:10	agreement 13:4 21:23	appear 56:21
add 20:12 22:11	56:19	applaud 10:18
23:25 57:25 75:3	agreements 21:25	<b>applicable</b> 13:5 69:2
added 36:21 42:20,22	ahead 35:6 48:2,13	applied 16:12,19
42:24 43:2,3 57:22	59:24 63:15 65:12	36:22 38:3 74:20
57:24 74:20	66:19 74:12	apply 20:25 24:9
<b>adding</b> 43:10		
addition 76:15	aid 35:25	25:17 31:3
<b>additional</b> 12:10 41:2	aiming 85:8	appreciate 38:20
address 44:23 48:3	Alhadeff 84:25	47:9 70:7 71:16,20
56:18	Alhadeff's 85:1	82:12 88:5,19
<b>addressed</b> 12:7 23:13	ALI 2:9	approaches 80:1
25:9 48:6 49:2	align 13:8	appropriate 38:10
55:12 56:11	Allegiance 3:8	approval 5:10 75:10
addresses 74:19	allotted 16:15	<b>approve</b> 5:11,12 8:7
addressing 57:13	allow 13:19 15:8,13	8:16 61:14
adjourn 88:21	16:11,18 32:20	<b>approved</b> 5:20 7:9,1
adjust 24:11	allowed 25:8	79:15,16
adjustments 24:8	alluded 15:2	approves 57:9
administering 21:11	alluding 31:23	approximately 42:2
ADMINISTRATI	amended 25:5	ARCESE 2:9
1:7	amendment 23:22	archaic 55:14 56:7
<b>Administrative</b> 5:21	amount 15:12 57:7	architect 57:19
administratively 25:6	82:9	architects 79:23
admit 40:11 55:22	amounts 68:21	architectural 57:20
adopted 77:13	<b>ample</b> 15:12	area 46:20 71:5 76:3
auopieu //.13		
advantage 25:7	analyses 36:12 analysis 34:21,24	areas 64:13 75:6,14 75:24,25 76:2 77:2

25.0 26.24 27.10	١
35:8 36:24 37:19	a
38:5,7,22 41:22	a
53:5,6 68:24 74:1	a
74:17,23 75:6,22	A
78:5 81:24	as
analyze 56:4 73:11	
77:19	as
Andrew 2:2 4:1,3	
Ann 2:10 4:24	
annual 6:2	
answer 18:5,9 23:4	as
38:21 45:11 57:11	as
64:11	
answering 47:25	
answers 61:3	as
<b>Anthony</b> 2:4 3:17	
anticipate 31:25	as
anybody 5:18 8:16	as
9:14 11:24 13:11	as
29:17 32:7 33:20	as
46:6 51:1 60:21	as
83:22	A
anyone's 85:9	
anyway 41:8	
appear 56:21	
applaud 10:18	A
applicable 13:5 69:2	at
applied 16:12,19	at
36:22 38:3 74:20	at
apply 20:25 24:9	at
25:17 31:3	A
appreciate 38:20	at
47:9 70:7 71:16,20	at
82:12 88:5,19	aı
approaches 80:1	
appropriate 38:10	
approval 5:10 75:10	
approve 5:11,12 8:7	
8:16 61:14	
approved 5:20 7:9,17	
79:15,16	
approves 57:9	
approximately 42:25	
ARCESE 2:9	aı
archaic 55:14 56:7	
architect 57:19	aı
architects 79:23	
architectural 57:20	
area 46:20 71:5 76:3	aı
areas 64:13 75:6,14	
75.24.25.76.2.77.24	

**rguing** 31:19 rrival 22:21 38:18 rticle 16:10 **SHLEY** 2:23 **sked** 7:2 12:4 29:8 68:21 84:21 **sking** 7:9 15:24,25 16:5 17:23 27:6 39:16 42:6 44:9 77:3 spects 64:12 ssessment 44:15 55:19,24 56:2 57:15 84:3 ssigned 2:17 4:15 20:18 21:1 ssociated 76:22 ssuming 27:4 31:4 ssumption 21:19 ssumptions 51:24 ssure 80:11 tkins 2:23 17:24 18:6 34:21 37:18 39:13 44:14 45:22 76:20 77:4 tlanta 57:23 tmosphere 41:14 **ttachment** 36:6 41:1 ttempt 35:10 ttempted 36:9 TTENDANCE 2:1 ttending 6:10 ttorney 25:14 **udit** 1:3 3:5 6:1,16 8:8 9:4,21 10:11 22:24 27:7 29:7 34:3,4,12 38:15 41:19 52:25 57:21 59:8,18,18 67:1 70:2 74:18 84:3,18 84:23 85:6,11 86:8 86:15,16 87:9,10 88:24 udited 28:17 85:13 85:24 **uditing** 27:14,15 62:19 63:2 75:17 84:22 **uditor** 2:7,8,10 4:11 4:23,25 5:5,7,21 7:3 26:21 27:2,13 37:21

50:17 86:18 auditor's 87:20 **auditors** 14:22 65:9 audits 2:9,10 8:20,25 9:21 32:10 35:15 43:20 59:10 87:8,13 authorized 90:8 automate 57:5 **available** 59:12,17 69:25 **Avenue** 1:8,24 average 17:23 47:1 74:21 **award** 44:19 awarded 44:25 aware 28:25 ave 5:16,17 8:14,15 9:12,13 11:22,23 33:18,19 83:20,21 aves 8:18 9:16 12:1 33:22 83:24

#### В

**B** 2:11 40:6

back 20:21 21:4 22:14 36:10 40:3 50:2,3 58:7 64:1 67:10 71:20 74:18 79:23 81:3,11 82:16 **backup** 54:25 backwards 57:10 **bad** 78:23 **ball** 70:22 **bane** 10:10 **Barnes** 2:3 3:13,14 67:8,9,10 **based** 19:23 38:6 47:18 48:8 54:1 56:16 75:12 **baseline** 38:2 49:9 50:25 71:6 73:7 85:20 baselined 51:2 **baselines** 62:18,19 63:3 73:17 **basically** 16:5,11 20:5 43:1 basis 20:19 21:2 26:25 32:4 43:22 71:8 78:18 79:18 Bass 1:23,23 2:23 5:8

botched 56:4 case 24:9 48:7 87:3,5 90:6,16 2:17 4:11,18,20,24 61:19 65:2,17 66:15 **bouncing** 58:6 82:22 **battle** 45:11 cases 16:14 5:5,7,21 7:3 61:17 88:2 86:17 87:20 bay 8:14 box 15:20 catch 26:21 27:11 comma 31:5 brief 6:17 8:21 12:15 Bays 2:17 4:14,14 categorized 74:15 chiefs 10:3 commensurate 24:23 34:11 53:18 68:11 12:17.24 caught 29:21,22 **child** 46:18 82:18 **comment** 6:24 12:8 68:12 76:7 briefly 86:4 cause 73:12 children 64:16 12:25 13:16 14:5 causing 72:19 73:23 **Beach** 48:7 **bring** 6:24 7:6 8:4 **chime** 62:11 16:13,20 20:12 beautifully 66:22 30:8 52:5,8 81:11 caution 69:5 **Chris** 2:22 4:23 12:11 23:14 34:12 39:1,2 **BECON** 6:5 **bringing** 25:24 26:15 **caving** 48:11 12:16 39:9 60:6 78:21 **beginning** 11:5,9 80:9 26:18 52:9 69:14 **CBRE** 60:20 City 44:9 **comments** 6:16,16 **behalf** 27:18 CBRE-Heery 34:23 85:19 clarification 84:15 9:6 10:20 12:6,7,23 **behoove** 32:14 **brings** 28:7 49:8 53:2 **clarify** 16:9 25:21 14:13,17 37:25 39:5 believe 21:7 22:20 **broad** 68:22 **CC-CMAR** 20:25 76:10 66:7 83:18,25 86:2 classified 70:14 37:12,19 53:22 **brought** 51:20 52:13 **CCC** 45:19 86:4,10 87:24 center 1:7 43:10 48:9 **clause** 19:24 61:23 73:19 77:23 commitment 63:9 believing 17:17 **Broward** 1:2 54:2,3 clean 86:16 certain 82:9 **committee** 1:3 2:1 3:5 benchmark 70:20 68:17 90:5.13 **certainly** 10:17 68:12 clear 23:18 32:20 5:17 6:1,3,9,16 8:8 **CERTIFICATE** 90:2 71:2 buckets 70:15,18,19 38:4 66:4 8:15 9:1,13 11:3,23 benchmarking 77:7 **budget** 57:15 certify 90:8 clearly 28:12 68:18 12:13 22:23,25 **Bennett** 45:17 builders 49:17 **chain** 58:7 **Clerk** 2:11 32:22 33:19 34:3,4 best 62:24 58:16 63:11 83:21 **building** 18:23 55:12 **chair** 2:2,2 6:16 7:6 close 15:13,19 16:15 **Bethune** 45:16 56:16,18,20,22 59:9 7:23 22:4 59:25 18:7 19:10 32:8 84:1 88:24 **better** 30:18 35:10 60:23 61:15,16,17 62:6,9 65:5 67:8 **closed** 15:6 38:6,18 committee's 34:12 37:20 52:14 54:16 69:6 79:22 closely 13:8 60:19 **common** 38:15 73:1 **closeout** 14:3 15:4 68:18 73:22 74:1 **buildings** 41:20,21 challenge 70:24 communication 23:19 bevond 20:7 53:10 45:12,15 48:5 57:17 **challenges** 60:2 65:21 16:18 18:19 19:5,22 85:5 55:2 69:8 70:17 77:11 **closer** 70:22 community 47:2 **bunch** 53:4 **bid** 46:9 **closing** 15:17 18:4,7 **buried** 42:13 **chance** 47:15 53:25 64:16 **bidders** 60:5,7 **change** 19:7 20:6,23 **business** 47:19 49:1 **CMAR** 17:20 20:15 **company** 72:18 big 51:21 49:18 52:21 54:17 21:6,18 22:18 23:17 20:16,24 21:20,21 comparable 71:4 **bigger** 11:1 31:3 55:16 59:14 60:3 23:22 28:24 29:5 21:25 22:4,8 25:23 **compare** 34:22 37:1 **biggest** 30:11 44:6 72:5 31:1,16 36:19 50:20 25:23 26:7,9,19 49:12 73:8,14 **bit** 14:21 51:18 57:3,8 61:10 28:25 30:12.21.22 **complete** 16:15 37:7  $\mathbf{C}$ blame 58:22 61:11 61:10,14,18,22 **CMARs** 22:13 25:7 50:22 57:12 90:10 C 40:6 72:13 63:20,21,21 64:18 29:1 **completed** 37:9 42:17 cabinet 10:2 **blames** 72:11 64:19 65:2 72:22 codes 57:24 42:18 44:1 **blaming** 60:21 72:18 cafeteria 53:21 57:19 75:7,9 83:2 Coker 2:18 12:9 **completion** 13:17,20 calculated 65:13 blowing 42:4 **changed** 15:11 23:3 14:16,18,18 15:25 19:24 25:2 43:23 calculations 35:7 board 1:2,7 5:25 7:4 24:23 30:4 44:17 17:3,5 20:10 22:10 58:2 50:8 65:16 **changes** 13:6 20:24 compliance 84:14 7:10 22:24 23:24 22:10 26:15 calendar 69:2 26:17,17 28:8 29:23 21:17 23:20 26:13 collaboration 23:11 85:10,19 call 3:11 48:8 43:12,19 44:19,19 26:19.22 27:24 28:2 collaborative 73:17 **compliant** 15:16,17 candidates 38:1 44:21 49:25 50:1,11 28:4,5,7,9,10 32:5 compliment 86:14 come 20:3 42:2 44:5 capacities 63:12 54:9 57:9 61:8,12 32:17 44:9,18 47:12 51:9 87:12 **Capital** 2:17 4:15 61:13 84:4,20 86:18 changing 70:22 51:25 52:23 53:9 compliments 87:7,16 24:6 **bond** 33:25 40:15 charge 40:5 67:10 87:11 **compound** 56:6 58:6 capture 74:2 45:10,14 46:5,18 charging 16:21 comes 7:19 17:15,20 comprehensive 50:22 **CARPENTER** 2:23 53:14 57:12,14 chart 7:18 36:17,19 65:11 82:15 75:20 Cartwright 78:23 **books** 44:20 **charter** 53:24 58:16 comfortable 17:11 **concept** 50:15 carve 48:16 boom 50:24 check 26:3 29:16 32:5 38:17 concepts 52:14 carve-out 48:3,13 **bored** 76:19 **chief** 2:7,8,14,15,16 coming 6:25 51:21 concern 23:1 26:20

79:6 82:14 concerns 17:20 30:12 50:1 conclude 86:6 concluded 89:4 concludes 6:10 conclusion 43:7 concrete 69:23,24 70:1 condition 70:13 **conditions** 60:4 80:7 **conducted** 34:21 38:5 Confidential 2:11 confirm 68:8 confirmed 38:16 **conflict** 18:11,14 19:7 25:10 88:13 congratulate 10:23 11:7 congratulations 10:11 89:1 connection 87:2 conservatively 44:15 consider 16:1 consideration 16:6 considered 7:20 consists 11:3 consolidated 8:24 34:7 constant 64:3 **constantly** 23:19 24:5 51:19 52:4,11 constraints 57:2 construction 2:18 5:2 12:4 13:1 17:15 19:21 20:11 36:25 37:6 46:1 47:24 49:16 50:12 63:7 68:17 70:13 71:5.10 **consultant** 72:10,11 context 37:11 70:9 continuation 34:2 continue 64:14,21 continued 63:18 continuing 20:16 25:22 26:6,24 28:25 contract 7:16 12:5 17:1 18:11 20:22,24 21:12,20,21 22:23 23:5,17,23,24 24:22 25:22 26:5,6,9,12

26:22,25 27:11 28:3 29:10.12.13 31:24 32:5,16 contracted 20:16 contractor 19:14 20:1 25:15 30:21 31:11 contractor's 19:17 contractors 16:22 19:20 37:1,6 contracts 19:21,25 21:11,16 22:5,6,12 22:19 24:17 25:4,23 26:16 27:18 28:6,13 28:20 30:10 control 19:14 61:18 63:23 controls 76:15 conversation 7:5 21:15 64:19,20 72:9 88:8 conversations 82:15 conversions 59:16 Conway 2:10 4:24,24 cooperation 38:20 **CORP** 57:6 **correct** 15:14 17:9 18:15.16 22:11 31:17 42:25 corrective 80:4,6 correctly 27:2 cost 9:25 76:14 **costing** 64:15 Council 44:9 counsel 26:23,24 29:9 29:19 counsel's 32:1 **county** 1:2 46:19 54:3 68:18 90:5,13 couple 10:15 36:10 36:18 39:22 84:5 **course** 87:20 **court** 1:22 2:23 5:8,8 54:22 86:24 90:6,16 covered 78:5 **CPCM** 35:9 76:14 **cracks** 28:17

create 58:8

created 72:24

creation 62:17

creating 69:8 71:24

cringe 87:14 critical 74:1 cross 18:1 crunch 81:5 crunched 39:18 current 7:23 27:19 currently 14:1 24:18 24:22 28:4 cutoff 6:18 D
Dahl 2:3 3:15,16 10:6
10:7,17,23 53:12
74:9 78:19,21 88:3
88:22 89:2

**damage** 19:24 damages 12:8 13:17 16:10,11,19,22 25:18 33:2 danger 45:1 dangerous 44:21 data 14:2 77:17,20 80:12 date 24:3 25:19 30:8 36:3 43:13 51:17 63:9 69:10,12 70:22 73:9 **Dated** 90:12 dates 40:18 41:25 43:23 48:24 **David** 2:21 4:22 12:11 day 42:11 44:21 53:13 61:23 62:1.2 79:15 88:13 90:12 days 13:20,22,24 14:1,4,22,24 15:4,6 15:9,20 16:2,3,4,14 16:22 17:18,23 18:7 18:12,17 19:2,10 20:6,14,17,22 25:13 33:2 36:21 38:7 39:23 40:1 49:7 52:9 74:21 84:6 **De** 2:4 3:17.18 62:6.8 62:10,12,16 66:14 67:5 68:3,4 72:20 73:6 78:9,10 **dead** 38:18 deal 69:7

deals 78:17 **December** 35:20.25 39:14 44:16 49:24 61:7 decide 7:24 decision 16:24 33:4 46:24 declared 46:12 deduct 51:6 deeply 57:2 **Deerfield** 48:7 **defend** 43:10 defer 21:24 definitely 14:22 **DEFP** 44:22 56:3 58:15 **degree** 56:15 delay 19:18,24 **delayed** 7:15 69:22 delays 41:10 58:7 72:24 74:24 deliverables 49:6 **delve** 83:1 demolish 45:13 demolition 57:18 **department** 10:11,14 18:23 22:15 23:8 30:7 32:3 46:9 53:17 55:13 56:16 56:18,20,22 58:24 59:9 60:23 61:15,16 63:22 72:12 79:22 departments 9:21,23 10:1,2,4,24 11:8 19:3 **Derek** 59:2 description 35:16 design 71:6,9 79:21 79:22 80:2.2 designed 56:6 desperately 43:12 detailed 88:7 **details** 78:14 **determine** 63:2 68:1 determined 68:24 determines 68:9 develop 34:24 developed 48:3 dialogue 64:18 dictating 17:18

difference 32:12

47:17 59:23 85:16 differences 60:20 different 15:2 31:20 61:9 65:15 70:11,12 70:14 76:23 79:25 difficult 70:11 87:6 direct 59:19 direction 15:15 directly 7:3 34:21 **director** 2:17,18,21 4:15 14:19 disagree 8:2 disagreement 18:13 disaster 56:24 **disband** 58:23 **Disch** 3:19 discrepancy 16:25 discussed 9:1 70:10 84:4 discussing 31:15 discussion 8:3 10:5 11:12 20:3,4 29:23 31:7,7 32:25 41:22 81:22 83:12 discussions 17:22 31:12 disparity 73:23 district 2:13 6:6 10:25 13:19 21:22 28:1,6 29:2 30:14 30:20,22,23 31:21 42:7 47:19,20,21 48:19 49:19 52:21 53:4 54:20,24 56:19 58:4 61:24 63:10,12 64:13 67:25 68:9 69:25 70:12 71:21 71:25 75:13,18 76:11 77:13 82:13 85:19 87:4 district's 16:21 25:16 31:4 49:1 **districts** 71:3,4 72:22 **document** 18:15 36:4 41:2.3.7 documentation 35:2 37:13 38:14 62:14 62:17 63:1 66:1,5 70:5 73:16 82:1 **documented** 60:13.17

documents 19:7

dealing 52:4 56:25

faster 53:9 61:2 **first** 24:10 35:5 40:10 35:24 36:12 60:14 elaborate 14:21 **excuses** 82:6,6 elaboration 15:25 **doing** 11:10 17:7 27:3 executed 22:1 75:11 41:11,12,16 49:7,9 27:5 29:11 30:22 election 45:5 **Executive** 2:11.17 fault 19:17 79:24 85:24 Elementary 40:1 fiscal 84:15,22 32:9,16 41:23 49:13 4:14 favor 5:16 8:14 9:11 50:10 54:10,11 55:7 45:16 Exhibit 35:13 36:3 11:22 33:18 83:20 fit 56:22 **five** 12:6,22 18:19 62:2 63:24 64:4,24 embarked 34:16 exist 28:5 55:22.23 **favorite** 87:15.16 64:25 82:7,8,9 emergency 46:12 existed 37:12 **February** 1:11 3:5 19:3 24:25 29:3 87:18,21 endeavors 30:7 existing 38:11 61:8 40:19 72:8 73:14 **dollars** 31:10 energy 79:5 exists 31:1 feel 38:16 46:9 58:23 74:11,15 75:24 80:3 **enforce** 13:23 17:16 **exited** 78:10 dormant 28:3 58:24,25 71:1 74:3 86:17 **fix** 57:1,4 60:11 64:10 **Dr** 2:5.14.15 3:22.23 enormous 79:2 expect 31:2 79:3 80:25 4:17,19 6:25 7:5,14 **ensure** 80:3 expectations 73:11 **feeling** 81:23 80:1 7:25 8:21 10:13,14 ensuring 15:16 **expected** 66:5 73:24 feet 58:1 **fixed** 46:21 79:8,8 11:3,4,21 17:12,13 entertain 15:14 experience 13:18 **felt** 38:1,6 **fixing** 45:10 59:14,15 **entire** 86:15 75:13 **Fertig** 2:4 3:20,21 9:8 **FL** 1:24 19:11,12 20:2 21:4 **flat** 16:2 23:10 24:15 25:20 entitled 35:13 experiencing 65:22 11:19,20 20:9 21:3 Fleming 2:15 4:17,18 25:21 27:22 28:21 environment 75:3 experts 17:14 21:5,9,14 22:3,11 **explain** 30:18 50:18 6:25 7:14 11:4 28:22 31:14 32:19 **equally** 38:8 84:8 22:22 23:7,12 26:20 33:13,17 39:7,8 equals 50:8 51:12 58:11 31:18,24 33:10,11 17:11 23:6,10 27:22 40:16 41:6 53:12 equation 60:15 **explained** 42:8 50:8 46:7 48:22 52:16,19 32:18,19 88:6,6,17 **ERIC** 2:10 explaining 69:18 **Florida** 1:8 21:6 90:4 55:5,7,10 58:18 58:12,21 66:11,20 explanation 71:12 67:22.24 69:18 90:7.13 71:18 74:8 76:4,5,9 error 31:6 32:13 78:12,23 84:2,3 **errors** 14:11 27:9 explicit 6:20 71:17,19 73:12 75:1 **flow** 75:9 85:4 86:2,14 87:8 **especially** 6:22 28:19 extended 37:16 80:18,19,22,24 flying 69:7 81:14 82:5 focus 6:20 51:18 88:6,17 63:7 85:23 **extending** 19:21,21 **ESSER** 60:9,9,10 79:19 **Fertig's** 31:15 drafted 21:12 **follow** 52:24 **drafting** 21:10 27:18 establish 73:11 **field** 63:20 extensive 16:3 following 3:1 drill 68:19 established 6:3 external 15:7 fieldwork 86:5.7 **Force** 77:2 drive 37:11 **extremely** 5:23 66:4 estimate 44:20 **figure** 30:3 62:13 forecast 62:21 **drives** 47:21 estimates 44:17 eyeballs 29:13,16 63:1 64:14,17 forecasting 51:25 due 48:18 49:7 **evaluate** 44:10 63:3 file 15:14 35:15 77:16 F **files** 35:18 forecasts 60:18 duration 17:1 **everybody** 3:4 43:8 **facilitate** 44:12 73:21 dysfunction 30:14 57:4 58:24 65:3 **final** 13:20 18:8 19:5 foregoing 90:9 facilitating 45:25 76:18 80:11 86:24 53:5,6 55:21 59:21 **forget** 84:12  $\mathbf{E}$ facilities 2:17 29:9,20 89:1 **finally** 14:9 43:9 forgot 30:24 **e-Builder** 60:24 75:8 30:9.10 46:9 53:17 everybody's 38:20 45:22 80:13 formatting 14:10 77:10,12,17,19 54:11 58:23 72:12 everyone's 28:24 financial 18:25 19:20 **formed** 80:1 **earlier** 15:3 74:13 77:2 82:10 31:2 68.23 **former** 34:23 early 34:17 42:19 fact 13:22 27:12 everything's 40:12 financials 18:20 **forms** 6:1 48:17,18 50:9 60:23 ease 24:4 **evolved** 41:11 **find** 23:8 27:12,15 Fort 1:8,24 90:12 easily 75:18 **factors** 15:7,7 exact 48:4 47:9 53:16,22 54:3 forth 27:9 32:18 eastern 54:2 fail 43:15 45:8 exactly 61:5,5,25 67:5 71:3,5 85:21 79:23 edited 22:9 **failing** 48:10 77:8 **example** 39:25 75:22 **finding** 26:2 34:9 **fortunate** 63:11,13 effect 21:7 27:24 **failings** 74:15 35:21,23 36:10,15 79:13 forward 7:7,19 8:4 **effective** 73:9 75:5 **failure** 57:12 70:19 examples 37:3,4 36:16 47:8,10,11 20:15 23:24 28:8 **effectively** 15:19 69:9 **failures** 72:16,23 exceeds 19:16 findings 11:6 45:24 47:12 51:5 efficient 75:11 75:15 fine-tuning 77:5 excellent 88:3 64:10,24 65:20 66:3 **falling** 42:10 efficiently 15:20 **exceptions** 9:4,5 10:3 **finger** 23:8 68:19 82:2 87:13 **eight** 73:3 **falsely** 79:20 **finish** 51:6 10:8 11:1 **found** 58:4 65:14 either 40:3,10 45:7 **far** 40:9 50:2 81:22 excluding 58:5 **finished** 42:23 43:4 **four** 12:7 18:19.22 66:13 fashion 7:11 excused 3:19 fire 57:3 58:1 19:3 67:16

59:24 63:15 64:14 **fourth** 69:1 Gums 2:22 4:23,23 held 43:16 58:1 **in-house** 32:1 Francis 2:19 5:1.1 12:11.19 13:16 14:9 help 52:14 70:3 **inaccurate** 35:23 38:2 65:12 66:19 71:8.18 73:25 74:12.22 77:7 21:7 **helpful** 67:17 20:10,14 23:16 38:8 51:23 62:21,22 **frankly** 70:16 guvs 12:17 20:5 39:2 **helping** 44:12 46:2 **include** 22:18 34:6 78:19 80:15 frequently 48:14 goal 6:19 19:9 32:7 39:11 55:4 61:25 hey 56:1 72:24 35:17 40:23.25 High 82:22 front 43:15 55:12 68:25 67:11 Η 57:20 58:5 77:10,25 highlighted 16:25 included 10:2 24:1 **goals** 85:18 **Hagen** 3:19 highlighting 16:16 **front-end** 41:23 God's 40:17 35:8,15 36:6,18 half 25:11 28:10 goes 7:10 18:24 36:16 **FTF** 17:22 20:3 25:25 hired 51:13 41:5 41:16 historical 9:25 including 84:24 **fully** 45:1 79:17 hand 22:20 39:19 hit 17:25 **fuming** 42:15 going 6:6,9,17 7:6,13 incorporate 77:14 handed 78:25 **function** 30:14 8:21 20:15,24 22:8 **hold** 41:20 86:22 incorporated 22:1 **handful** 76:17 functional 25:4 22:13 23:24,24 holding 25:7 46:3 26:13 29:5 65:16 **handle** 29:19 **holdup** 57:8 **funded** 45:1 24:14,16 25:5 26:9 increase 75:4 **handled** 56:12 hole 78:16 **funding** 44:14 46:11 27:14,14 31:2,13 increasing 48:25 35:5 40:10 41:25 happen 11:2 19:15 funds 2:10 8:19,25 **Hollywood** 45:17 **incumbent** 24:20 30:1 28:5 47:14 59:18 87:9 44:4,22,23 45:7 home 84:17 independent 34:20 76:6 hope 44:8 79:4 indicate 80:10 further 11:12 14:5,13 48:5 49:12 50:1,14 happened 26:10 34:25 43:2 63:2 51:3,15 54:7,9,21 **hopefully** 57:7 88:11 indicated 18:10 59:3,13 60:9 63:8 41:15,17 47:4,16 83:12 86:6 hopes 75:10 indicating 40:23 52:2,6 58:25 77:4 horrible 86:19 42:15 **future** 66:6 63:24 64:7,9,10,21 85:12.22 **house** 52:5 **future's** 66:24 64:23 65:20 66:3,24 **industry** 18:3 63:6 happening 23:1 43:17 67:23,24 68:18 **housed** 48:10 68:2,10 G 70:10,21 71:4,10,10 43:18,21 49:18 huge 58:9 information 7:7 gain 19:20 53:11 54:14 55:3 **huh** 4:8 72:10 73:4,4 75:13 12:10,12 36:2 37:10 **gained** 65:20 62:1 79:24 85:14,16 **husband** 82:22 77:17,18 78:21 65:10,14 78:13,17 **gap** 16:17 32:8 79:11 80:19,24 81:2 85:17 HVAC 51:22 52:2 81:23 84:10 happens 19:13,16,25 gather 30:2 81:3 82:2 83:2 58:8.9 60:11 **initial** 55:23 general 20:15,23 31:16 50:6 initially 23:10 85:18 86:5 I 25:23 26:6 29:9,19 happy 12:16 20:4 gonna 42:19 53:16,22 initiatives 76:2 76:21 38:21,25 41:6 60:16 idea 72:21 54:8 57:4 59:18 innovative 69:13 identification 72:3 generally 16:15 61:4 81:5 83:6 inside 26:22,23 77:7 71:3 72:8 84:9,17 generated 35:19 hard 17:17 26:3 71:3 **identified** 28:16 60:2 **good** 3:3 4:14.17.19 inspection 34:25 71:5 71:23 74:16.24 36:13 inspections 61:19 8:23 9:19 10:16,17 **getting** 8:2 19:2 44:1 harder 61:2 75:19 76:3 14:18 60:22 63:16 instances 37:3 48:21,24 60:5,6 **head** 56:10 **identify** 54:16,18 81:7 89:2 instituted 80:6 68:25 71:25 78:12 health 69:4 55:1,2 72:16,23 **Goodbye** 78:9,9 **intent** 16:21 identifying 70:9 78:14 81:23 hear 33:12 43:19,19 Gorokhovsky 11:4 interest 5:23 80:20 give 41:20 47:15 53:8 47:3,14 55:17 59:17 **III** 2:10 60:10 graduation 29:24 84:16 86:4 54:9,12,13 84:9 78:24 81:3 82:5 **Imagine** 57:15 **graphs** 39:12 interesting 37:5 **given** 13:5 88:15 **immediately** 48:11,12 great 19:2 22:14 44:9 interim 28:14 85:17 **giving** 15:20 heard 23:4 32:19 52:19 66:21 69:7 49:6 internal 2:10 8:19,25 **glad** 44:7 45:21 52:19 53:7 59:2 69:7 **impact** 59:19 68:15 72:25 86:20 87:8,12 86:15 87:9 glossary 67:11,14 82:11 80:10 87:17,21 internally 15:1 **GMP** 17:21 **hearing** 44:8 58:15 implement 59:13 group 49:22 80:2,2 interrupt 40:22 **GMPs** 30:13 58:22 82:17 87:6 implemented 31:17 guess 6:24 15:23 17:6 interviews 35:8 **go** 4:12 5:23 7:22 heartburn 25:16 **important** 11:9 15:18 17:10 23:1 27:21 inventory 2:9 9:17,20 18:21 21:4 35:6 heck 51:21 28:18 47:11 48:23 38:18 42:19 59:21 9:22 10:9,19,25 36:25 40:9 51:11 Heery 43:25 58:18 67:1 81:10 86:16 87:9 53:10,23,24 54:3 59:8 **improve** 51:19 62:2 **GUESTS** 2:20 **INVITED** 2:20 55:2 58:15 59:6,7 Heery's 56:19 improved 75:1 guidance 25:8 involved 19:4 56:9

issue 17:14 33:7 kev 75:14 late 33:15,16 42:23 71:10,11  $\mathbf{M}$ 63:17,18 69:24 70:6 kids 46:8 48:10 52:6 43:4 look 11:1,9 23:12 magic 45:10 71:24 79:2 52:8 84:16,17 latest 59:5 28:18 42:2 52:2 main 13:21 issues 64:3 80:8 **kind** 8:5 24:4 56:1 Lauderdale 1:8,24 56:19 61:20 62:5 maintain 63:23 item 7:7,24 8:19,24 70:3,5 72:7 75:20 90:13 68:21 73:12,22 **major** 74:15 9:19 12:2,3,23 14:6 78:24 laws 13:6 87:13.15 majority 39:18,20 20:16 32:25 33:24 **know** 6:19,20 7:24,25 lav 65:25 looked 37:21 39:24 43:5 83:25 85:25 8:2 12:14,20 15:12 layer 25:25 41:18 42:16 49:8,17 making 17:8 24:11 items 9:25 14:9,12 18:5 19:8,22 26:16 **laying** 52:20 49:19 50:20 56:21 27:18 51:24 59:16 26:18,19 29:20 26:23 27:5,16 28:5 lead 42:13 61:5,9,9 64:1 79:25 64:6 75:10 35:12 28:11,15 31:5 32:2 **leaders** 63:19 79:25 managed 24:18 77:21 32:15 38:21 40:5 leaking 46:21 **looking** 10:25 11:5 management 2:18 J 41:18 47:2,3,5,11 leaky 82:19 17:19 20:23 23:7 12:7 13:25 14:11 **Jabouin** 2:8 3:12,15 47:13,13 50:23 51:1 learn 63:19 26:4 28:19 30:17 21:24 37:24 38:15 3:17,19,22,24 4:1,3 51:12,19 53:1,7,18 leaving 25:13 33:1 42:9 45:2 46:22 39:4 44:4,10 79:18 4:5,10,10 5:22 8:23 **left** 33:1 43:10 78:14 53:18,22 55:9 57:9 52:5 54:6 56:2 management's 13:7 9:19 10:22 12:3,22 57:24 58:10 59:13 60:13 65:9 70:4 38:4 32:21 33:24 40:25 60:7,19,23 61:2,25 **legal** 22:15 23:11,19 79:7 80:13 83:17 manager 2:9,10,19 54:22 65:7,8 66:12 62:3,21,23,23 63:8 26:23,24 27:3,19 looks 7:18 28:6 32:22 5:1 12:5 20:11 67:19,21 68:8 81:17 63:18 64:8,9,23,25 31:24 32:21 42:16 43:16 72:13,14 81:20 83:9,14 84:21 65:2,3,15,25,25 **legislative** 27:23,25 **lose** 66:13,24 73:3 76:12,13 85:1,25 86:3 88:10 **losing** 31:10 66:22,23 67:11,12 length 25:13 31:8 managers 24:7 46:1 **Jacobs** 55:21 67:13 69:11 73:6 let's 6:20,21 41:11 **lost** 48:18 77:20,20 49:16,16 50:12 **January** 8:7 34:4 74:2 75:7,15 76:1,3 55:5,19 64:11,17,22 **lot** 18:25 27:7 29:12 76:11 77:2 **Jermaine** 2:15 4:17 30:5 44:3 48:20 69:19 73:12 76:19 79:20 81:5 manner 72:1 **Jesus** 44:5 82:8,24 83:9 85:12 **letter** 76:21 77:6 78:3 55:15 56:10 65:23 manual 55:11 75:3 **job** 52:2 62:1,2 63:25 67:12 81:24 82:5,11 87:1,10 88:12,15 lettering 67:16 **mapped** 74:23 64:1,3,4 87:17,21 **knowing** 82:23 letters 67:16,16 82:11.12 March 23:25 26:18 join 20:4 knowledge 21:24 **loud** 32:19 **level** 56:4 88:10,11 90:12 Joris 2:8 4:10 34:15 knows 31:1 58:24 limit 24:24 **love** 81:14 Margate 52:8 62:12,25 73:21 **kumbaya** 45:23 **limited** 19:14 Luker 2:21 4:22,22 mark 16:13 judgment 25:17 **limits** 56:14 12:11,14,16 16:7,8 market 58:6 60:4,8  $\mathbf{L}$ July 27:25 28:5 line 39:21 17:9 18:10 19:6 70:12 78:4 80:7 lack 37:13 38:14 60:5 **jump** 8:9 **liquid** 33:2 21:12,19 24:13,16 **Marguardt** 2:11 5:4 **jumping** 43:17 laissez-faire 44:3 liquidated 13:16 25:14 34:11,14,15 5:4 **June** 36:5 39:14 40:1 **Lakes** 39:25 16:10,11,19,22 42:12 62:12,25 Mary 2:4,18 3:20 81:12 Langan 2:22 18:10 25:17 33:1 65:18 70:2,7 74:8 14:18 22:10 64:5 justification 47:4 18:17 19:18 20:4,8 liquidating 12:8 74:10,13 80:13,25 66:10 justifications 71:9 22:4 34:11 39:4 list 72:8 83:13 88:1 master 19:9 justifies 52:24 47:17 49:3 59:25 listen 48:25 79:4 Luker's 30:25 77:1 matches 69:23 justify 45:3 65:18 73:1 74:4,7,9 **listened** 53:2,3 **lump** 28:8 materials 76:24 79:10,11 80:16 little 14:21 46:4 Lynch-Walsh 2:5 mathematical 60:15 K 81:25 live 31:13 45:7 46:5,8 3:22,23 17:12,13 Matters 5:21 **Kathleen** 2:22 22:19 **language** 13:2,4,7 **lived** 58:13 19:11,12 20:2 21:4 **Maximo** 56:12 23:13 15:15 17:1 22:18 **living** 59:1 24:15 25:20,21 Mayersohn 2:2 3:3 **KC** 1:7 24:1,11,19 26:3 **local** 54:4 28:21,22 31:14 3:10,24,25 4:7,12 **keep** 39:16 40:10 29:6,14 31:2 long 38:19 46:16 33:13,17 39:7,8 5:9,13,15,18,20,22 55:5 57:13 64:5,7 large 42:21 90:7 50:14 51:17 59:20 40:16 41:6 53:12 6:12,15 7:22 8:12 77:9 83:19 87:12,17 largely 56:16

79:14 85:11 87:11

24:25 60:25 63:22

longer 13:5 14:4

87:21

**kept** 46:23

**larger** 77:25

laser 6:21

55:7,10 58:18 71:18

76:4,5,9 78:12 84:2

84:3 85:4 86:2

8:16,18 9:6,9,11,14

9:16 10:5,20 11:11

11:14,17,20,24 12:1

12:14 13:11,14 14:6
14:8,14,16 15:23
16:7 17:3,6,10 18:9
18:16 19:11 20:5,9
20:13 21:3 23:6
24:13 25:12,20
27:21 31:14,19
22.24 22.11 15 20
32:24 33:11,15,20
33:22 34:14 39:2,6
40:14,21 41:4 46:6
52:17,18 55:4,9
58:20 59:24 62:7,10
62:15 63:15 65:6
66:7,9,18 67:6,9,18
67:22 68:5,7,11
69:17 71:17 73:2
74:5,8,11 76:4,8
78:7,19 79:10 80:15
80:18,21,23 81:10
81:18 82:3 83:8,11
83:16,22,24 86:10
86:13,22 87:1,5,19
87:22 88:1,4,11,23
mean 12:14 26:23
31:18 33:3,5 40:22
41:14 51:11 52:3
54:1 58:22 60:5,12
63:7 67:19 77:25
81:14 84:23
meaning 88:4
means 42:21 43:4
64:3 73:9
measure 71:13 80:4,6
media 48:9
<b>Medvin</b> 2:2 4:1,2
5:14,15 9:10 11:16
11:17
meet 61:13,14 62:25
65:12,18
meeting 1:3 3:6 6:4,6
6:8,22 7:15 26:8,17
26:17 27:7 29:23
34:3,4 41:15 53:24
88:9 89:3,4
meetings 8:8 9:2,3
58:16
member 5:25
members 2:1 5:17 6:1
6:3,9 8:15 9:13
11:23 33:19 35:9
43:12,19 63:11

64:13 83:21 84:1,21
mention 10:23,24
23:16 84:14
mentioned 23:13
34:15 42:12 74:13
mentioning 77:9
Meo 2:4 3:17,18 62:6
62:8,11,12,16 66:14
67:5 68:3,4 72:21
73:6 78:9,10
mess 58:5 78:24
message 7:21
Messier 59:2
met 61:8,16
<b>method</b> 24:21
methodologies 49:20
49:21
MICHELE 2:11
Michelle 5:4
microphone 62:15
71:19
middle 41:24 48:7
52:8
million 44:16 45:14
52:1,2 60:10
<b>millions</b> 31:10
mind 24:4 55:5
minor 14:10 47:8,10
<b>minute</b> 62:10
minutes 8:8 40:23
53:24 73:3 74:12
78:14 81:7,8
misnumbered 32:13
missing 31:5
mistake 27:1 29:17
32:7 58:23
mistakes 32:11
modification 35:3
70:15
modifications 22:2
38:11
<b>modified</b> 24:24 36:1
modify 70:25
modules 77:14
moment 45:23
moments 44:5
money 30:17 41:13
44:24 52:11 54:8,10
54:12 64:15
month 51:4,4 76:17
monthly 43:22 51:16

76:16 77:5 78:18
79:18 80:8
months 18:23 40:8
43:24 50:2,4 74:18
77:15
<b>morning</b> 3:3 4:14,17
4:19 8:23 9:19
14:18 61:17
Moses 2:3 3:13
<b>motion</b> 5:11,12 7:2
8:7 11:14,17 33:8
33:10,11 66:10,11
66:12,16 67:6,23
71:18 73:4 74:2
80:21 81:6 83:6,10
83:11,14,16 84:6
88:20
mouth 38:25
move 9:8 45:4,24
46:2 48:13,15 64:17
67:3,3,24 69:9,11
70:22 73:8 80:20
moved 8:10,12 11:16
47:24 48:2 51:17
moving 8:19 9:17
12:2 13:10 18:19
50:4 51:5 64:24
<b>multiple</b> 31:11 77:21

# N name 4:10 narratives 71:12 **Nathalie** 2:5 3:22 **national** 69:21.24 **nature** 16:5 36:14 necessarily 8:1 15:21 19:13 22:13 65:25 need 17:25 23:20,22 24:8 25:4,9 26:3 28:9 29:16 40:22 42:9 44:13 45:14 58:10 73:10,19 84:18 85:19 needed 6:7 24:10 77:15 needs 42:8 55:19,24 56:2 57:15 79:8 84:15 never 17:25 40:4 41:18 56:13,21 57:14 76:5

new 21:22 22:8 23:25 24:1,24 26:9 34:22 37:8 72:12 85:14 **newly** 37:2 **nice** 10:8 **night** 52:12,13 nine 58:3,4 nit-picking 45:24 nonsensical 40:11 norm 17:15 68:10 normally 16:2 norms 62:22,23 63:6 66:21 67:5 68:1 72:2 80:25 Northeast 46:9 82:22 Notary 90:6 note 7:20 80:8 **noted** 13:2,6,25 14:11 notes 50:10 90:11 **notice** 13:19 21:1 57:16 noticed 27:6 **notices** 16:13,17 notorious 63:8 **November** 34:3 35:20 36:7 NTP 13:23 17:1 18:12 19:8 **NTPs** 13:18 number 8:19,24 9:20 12:2,3,23 13:10,12 13:14,24 15:11 21:5 25:13 33:23,24 37:22 71:23 numbering 27:9 **numbers** 39:18 67:15 67:15 numerical 27:8  $\mathbf{0}$ objective 71:7 observation 16:9 34:8 35:7 37:11

# objective 71:7 observation 16:9 34:8 35:7 37:11 38:13,15,18 69:19 observations 34:5 35:14 36:4 obtain 36:25 obtained 34:20 obviously 38:24 63:17 71:22 81:25 occurred 23:18 77:9

occurring 74:24 occurs 73:24 OCP 34:10 42:16 44:12 45:21,24 **October** 35:20,25 **OFC** 35:10 37:18 38:25 **OFC's** 38:9 **offense** 88:17 **offhand** 84:12 office 2:7,14,16,17 4:15,24 5:4,6 7:3 24:6 29:19 32:1 87:21 **Officer** 2:14,16,16 4:21 **official** 61:17 **officially** 7:16 19:4 79:1 **oh** 26:7 62:16 84:12 87:7 okay 10:17 17:6 20:2 20:9 21:9,14 22:3 25:20 27:21 32:24 39:6 40:21 48:25 49:20 56:14 62:8 66:18 68:7,10 69:17 73:18 74:7 76:5 78:19 80:19 82:3,10 83:11 86:10 87:5,22 87:23 old 24:19 29:3 31:2 42:9 72:12.13 once 26:25 56:21 one's 21:17 one-size-fits-all 15:5 ones 22:8 79:23 **ongoing** 32:4 50:23 71:24 86:8 online 41:1 83:7 onsite 69:15 80:2 **open** 33:1,2 operation 45:9 operational 70:17 Operations 2:16,16 4:18 **opine** 33:5 **opinion** 25:12 opportunities 75:2 77:22 opportunity 14:20

professionals 49:10 49:25 15:10 16:1 22:15 passed 84:6 picking 21:9 24:10 70:8 71:16 passionate 53:8 pictures 82:21 **presented** 20:21 33:3 51:13 70:13 76:1 patience 3:4 88:24 piece 50:23 65:4,4 34:2 36:9 program 2:17 24:7 **opposed** 5:18 9:14 pay 72:5 **Pines** 39:25,25 **pressed** 12:21 34:18 40:5,6 43:16 11:24 28:10 33:20 payable 56:9 place 32:11 59:4,6 pressure 65:23 44:4,10 45:10 48:3 **paying** 56:7 **pretend** 57:16 83:22 75:19 48:13 49:11.16.18 **PDF** 77:18,19 **option** 16:1 **plan** 45:13 pretty 28:24 44:18 49:23 51:15 52:12 order 6:7 61:10 **people** 21:16 40:4 **plane** 69:6 74:14 77:3 55:13 57:12 58:10 orders 50:20 61:10 41:23 42:6,8 48:10 **planet** 84:11 **previous** 9:3 13:3 69:4 75:15 76:11,12 **planning** 53:14 55:17 61:14,18,22 75:7,9 49:10,14,22 50:11 22:6 72:11 76:14,22 77:2 organizational 7:18 50:13 53:4.17 54:1 56:5 59:3,6 previously 9:1 **Programs** 4:16 24:6 organized 50:19 54:12,23 56:1,8,17 **play** 41:10 principal 48:9 **progress** 46:3 64:6 original 35:17,18 57:23 58:19 59:7,8 **Please** 88:22 prior 22:20 25:8 progressing 83:19 40:15,18 43:6 51:1 61:2 62:25 65:25 **pledge** 3:7,8 39:13 **project** 15:2,3 16:12 **probably** 25:5 29:21 **OSC** 24:20 66:1 73:3 76:17 **plus** 50:7 16:16 19:5 20:19 47:23 66:10 70:21 79:6 82:8 **PM/OR** 14:3 22:6 **Osgood** 7:5,25 21:2 26:7 30:16 **OSPA** 84:8 percent 24:25 25:1,1 24:20 35:9 76:12 83:7 35:3.15.16 36:20 **outcome** 63:22 25:8 30:13 42:17,18 **point** 10:22 13:21 **problem** 18:4 30:6,6 37:5,20 38:12 41:24 **outside** 26:21 27:13 42:20,22,24 43:1 15:1 17:24 20:6 30:11 31:3 44:6 43:13 44:20 45:3 67:18 55:24 21:22 22:2 23:7 45:6,7,17,17 48:15 50:12 51:5 52:1 **overarching** 68:13,25 perception 68:16 29:6,15,18,22 30:25 48:17 53:1 58:9 53:24 58:4,16 63:9 63:25 73:12 78:1 overnight 57:3,5,6 31:15 41:8 45:9 69:3.8 70:11 72:13 83:5 oversight 26:1 perfectly 16:23 46:15 48:7,21 70:16 82:15 83:5 72:13 74:21 76:13 overview 35:5 75:21 **perform** 12:4 33:25 70:21 72:15 75:1 problematic 77:24 **projects** 15:18 16:3 owner's 76:12 77:1 81:4,10,11 **problems** 45:2 58:8 19:18 20:15 22:8 37:19 Performance 2:14,15 82:10 84:15 86:20 60:11 72:20 24:22 34:25 35:1 P procedures 37:24 4:20 pointing 82:13 36:18,21,24 37:7,15 **p.m** 1:12 6:18 89:4 performed 9:22 **policies** 28:7,19 29:2 38:16 62:20 37:22,23 38:5 39:15 page 14:10 34:8 35:6 34:18 35:11 38:23 **proceed** 13:19 16:14 30:3 31:20 39:20,21,22,24 35:8 42:12,14,16 74:17 **policy** 15:17 17:8 16:17 41:13 42:17,18,23 43:8.9 44:8 45:22 **period** 31:5 35:25 22:17 29:25 33:4,6 proceedings 3:1 43:3,5 44:13,14,16 pages 9:24 76:16 36:13 84:4,7,8 78:11 90:9 44:25 47:22,23 48:2 pandemic 58:7 **permit** 79:15 pool 23:25 process 11:1,5,9 24:8 50:5.19 51:21.21 **paper** 56:10,16 58:11 **permits** 79:14 **poorly** 56:6 28:11,12 29:1 31:16 53:9 57:13 58:2 59:11 permitting 74:17 population 37:5 31:21 32:22 35:11 59:20 69:22 71:25 **paperwork** 47:7,13 **person** 23:4 27:6,17 position 38:9 35:19 38:21 50:9 80:10 82:11 50:7,15,16 51:8 47:1 59:5 64:24,25 possible 20:12 51:6 56:9,22 57:5 promised 40:18 55:1 72:18 73:21 81:8 **possibly** 14:20 39:8 61:10 63:21 64:7 **property** 2:9 9:17,20 paragraph 32:13 83:3 88:16,18 **poster** 46:18 73:14 74:23,23 75:8 9:22 10:9,19 86:16 Park 39:25 87:9 personally 58:22 potential 37:14 75:10,11,21,23 Parkway 45:13 57:16 pertained 31:8 potentially 15:10 processes 6:8 32:11 prosecution 69:13 part 22:17 27:24 **pertains** 30:9 34:17 38:2 46:2 55:11 56:7,7 **provide** 12:10,12,24 34:19 36:24 37:4 **phase** 36:17 **practice** 14:23 24:17 procurement 2:18 5:2 32:21,21 35:2 37:10 40:23,24 54:2,3 **phases** 18:19 47:19 14:19 17:14,17 37:25 41:6 70:8 58:9 79:4 **phasing** 30:19 **practices** 49:1,19 23:11 25:24 29:10 88:7.16 partially 13:3 **provided** 14:2 23:23 **phone** 4:6,8 48:8 87:4 52:21 54:17 55:16 29:13 30:8 32:2 particular 20:22 69:3 **Phyllis** 2:6 4:5 5:12 59:15 60:3 62:24 41:15 37:14 57:22 74:25 particularly 52:20 8:11 33:14 63:16 72:5 produced 34:23 81:25 parts 58:9 73:15 66:16,22 68:6 86:12 pre-recession 45:16 35:13 36:2,3,5,7 provides 75:20 party 88:18 providing 25:25 pick 27:2 60:22,23 preparing 22:7 37:1 pass 73:5 **picked** 21:17 present 4:6 36:9 profession 66:2 **public** 6:11,12 55:20

68:14 83:5 90:7
public's 68:16
pull 64:13
purpose 32:9 76:18
purposes 4:13
push 56:15
pushed 36:10 56:3
put 30:24 38:24 41:1
41:13 46:19 48:12
53:23 70:17 72:18
putting 8:1 41:19
45:12
PWS 2:19

Q
OSEC 26:8

**OSEC** 26:8 **quality** 70:13 quantitative 68:23 quarter 69:1 **quarterly** 34:1 76:21 84:13 85:8,10 quarters 85:24 **question** 7:14 15:23 18:5,6 27:21 39:8 47:25 59:12,21 85:1 **questions** 9:6 10:21 13:11 14:6,14 34:13 38:22 39:3,7 quickly 5:23 12:20,24 65:9 66:3 quite 10:10 84:10 **quorum** 78:8

#### R

**R** 1:23 90:6.16 rabbit 78:16 radar 81:20 Radcliff 2:11 5:6,6 Ramblewood 52:3.7 randomly 40:8 raw 77:17 re-baseline 35:22 37:8 re-baselined 34:22 37:2 40:17 41:9 re-baselining 34:18 35:11,19 36:14 39:10,14 63:4 73:18 re-engineered 75:8 reached 16:12 read 15:12 62:18

76:17,19 78:2 reading 42:14 78:4 87:13 ready 66:18 real 43:13 45:2 68:15 79:7 83:4 85:14 realistic 19:13 40:4 42:1 43:14 51:14 realized 42:7 really 13:21 17:13 19:25 26:22 27:1 30:6,7,15 37:4 38:13 48:23 55:7 58:12 61:11 63:13 66:25 68:20 69:6,6 70:16 71:1,24 79:3 reason 40:12 62:18 69:22 **reasonable** 57:7 81:1 reasons 41:10 45:3 48:20 60:17 77:9 **Rebecca** 2:3 3:15 53:12 receipt 21:2 receive 71:11 received 5:24 13:18 receiving 46:18 **recipe** 56:24 recited 3:9 recommendation 15:24 20:20 22:25 70:25 recommendations 15:15 61:7 74:25 recommended 13:24 14:24 20:17 37:18 38:11 **record** 48:1 76:8,9,13 88:25 90:10 records 46:23 55:20 recovery 56:5 reduced 13:24 reduction 25:1 reference 14:11

reflect 27:19 68:2

reflects 36:19

refresher 25:22

35:14 85:2

regardless 63:19

regular 26:17 32:4

**regarding** 7:2 12:8

regularly 32:16 reiterate 79:11 **relate** 14:10 36:22 related 29:20 relates 12:25 13:16 15:16 20:25 61:12 relay 7:20 relevant 29:4 relying 26:21 remains 6:19 remember 6:17 21:14 22:23 39:12 reminder 6:18 renovation 52:1 **rep** 76:12 replace 45:15 replaced 45:16 replacement 51:22 57:18 **report** 5:24 6:10 7:3 7:8 8:6,25 10:15 12:13 34:1.2 35:13 36:7 39:13 55:21,23 61:25 62:18 63:5 65:17 66:5 67:11 75:19 77:6 80:9 84:13 85:3,8,10 86:7,16 90:9 **reporter** 1:22,23 2:23 2:24 5:8,8 54:22 86:24 90:6,16 **REPORTER'S** 90:2 **Reporting** 1:23 2:23 reports 35:18 36:12 43:22 47:12 49:22 66:6 76:16 86:18 87:10 represent 81:8 representative 27:23 **request** 7:19 15:10 35:12 55:20 requested 12:12 35:1 35:24 require 24:25 required 78:4 reserves 44:21 resolve 64:22 resource 69:10 respect 10:3 12:13 86:3

52:17 55:4 60:1 **responded** 12:9 85:2 response 5:19 6:14 8:17 9:7,15 11:13 11:25 13:7,13,25 14:7,12,15 15:21 20:21 33:21 35:12 35:14 36:4 38:4 39:4 50:21 60:13,15 66:8 69:21 70:1 83:23 86:11 87:25 responses 34:10 responsible 23:4,9 27:17 29:11 31:22 32:15 80:3 84:8 responsive 88:7 rest 6:5 restudied 50:3 result 37:12 38:3 results 38:6 55:20 56:3 retainage 13:1,6 19:23 22:2 24:19,21 24:24.25 retention 13:2 reuse 30:17 review 12:3,6 15:1 20:7 26:1 28:2 29:1 29:6,8 32:3,23 33:3 33:25 51:10 57:20 **reviewed** 9:23 10:4 26:9,12 31:25 32:1 81:21 **reviewing** 17:7 32:15 32:17 reviews 22:17 26:5 29:9 57:23 75:5 79:21,22 80:3 revised 30:1 revising 25:24 revision 21:13 **revisions** 26:5.11 **Rickards** 26:7 30:16 31:8 41:21 **right** 3:3,10 5:10 6:15 7:22 11:11 12:2 13:14 14:8,16 18:1 23:14 28:23 39:6 43:10 47:23 49:21 51:23.25 56:23 67:21 73:2 83:25

87:23 **riled** 46:4 **rise** 3:7 risk 2:22 12:5 30:20 30:21 31:4,11 37:11 44:15 76:20 77:6 78:3 **risks** 30:23 76:21,23 **road** 71:2 Robert 2:2 3:24 **robust** 17:21 roll 3:10 **rolling** 33:23 **roof** 46:10,12,21 48:3 48:11,13 52:12 82:19.22 **roofers** 48:18 54:19 **roofing** 41:19 48:15 74:17,19,22 75:21 79:13,15 81:15,17 **roofs** 41:19 45:12 46:13.18 48:6.14 52:13 82:23 room 1:7 4:12 root 73:11 rooted 57:2 **RSM** 2:21,22 4:22,23 12:2.4 14:13 20:21 25:25 26:4 29:21 33:25 34:2,9,19 35:14 36:4 37:21 49:21 57:21 60:14 77:1 82:13 **RSM's** 39:19 run 12:19 40:5 54:8 running 17:23 89:2 runs 79:14 rush 41:11 S

Sabin 2:5 4:3,4 8:10 8:10,12 78:9,10 sake 40:17 salvage 45:9 salvaging 44:12 Sam 2:17 4:14 51:10 Sam's 70:21 sample 34:24 35:12 36:19 sat 47:18 53:2,13,19 55:18 58:14

respond 24:14,14

saturated 60:8	search 56:20	<b>short</b> 6:7 72:8 78:22	<b>sounds</b> 43:7	statutes 13:9 21:8
save 30:17 50:4 52:9	seat 87:14	shortage 69:20	source 36:11 41:7	24:24 30:3
52:11 53:19	second 5:13,14,15	shortcuts 67:12	Sourcing 5:2	stay 6:21
savior 59:3	8:11,12 9:9,10	shove 41:14	Sourcingm 20:11	staying 24:5
saw 25:25 46:20	11:17,19 12:8 33:11	show 52:10 60:16	space 51:20 52:10	stenographic 1:23
54:11,14 72:20	33:12,13,14,17	63:6 82:21	spare 54:23	90:11
saying 5:16 8:1,14	36:17 43:16 66:16	showing 59:10	speak 57:22	stenographically
9:12 11:22 26:11	68:5,6 83:9	shows 36:17	speakers 6:11,13	90:9
28:22 33:18 40:3	Secretary 2:11	shrink 44:21	speaking 75:23 78:16	step 64:1
54:1,23 59:4 63:24	see 9:24 10:8 17:11	side 10:10	Spec 2:11	steps 18:25 19:15
72:4 77:3 82:4	32:2,3,5 38:13,17	sign 19:4 27:11	specific 9:23 15:7	56:8
83:20 87:7	39:15 46:23,24 47:7	sign-o-matic 61:21	34:1 82:11	<b>stop</b> 43:9 45:11,23
says 17:25 28:9 31:2	63:5 67:12 68:22	signed 18:21 22:5,6,7	specifically 29:7 30:9	48:22 61:20 69:15
scale 11:2	71:21 72:7 73:13	signify 5:16 8:14 9:11	34:17 74:18	stopped 30:22 46:11
scatter 39:12	80:9 81:12,15 84:19	11:22 33:18 83:20	speech 53:8	stories 82:12
schedule 19:9 34:18	88:14	signs 18:23	speeches 29:24	Stranahan 45:18
34:20,22,23 35:15	seeing 39:12 47:12	simple 57:11 83:3	speed 58:2	53:21
35:22 36:1,22 37:8	66:9 70:18	single 46:19 75:19,19	spending 30:5 44:24	Stranahan's 57:18
37:16 40:9,11,12,19	seen 14:2 36:11 39:19	sir 14:18 17:5 20:8	spin 56:10	strategically 61:21
42:9 43:6,24 48:1,2	75:14,16	68:12 69:16	spoke 66:22	<b>Strategically</b> 01.21 <b>Strategy</b> 2:15,16 4:18
49:8,11,24 50:24	SEIFER 2:10	sit 51:9 59:5 60:16,22	spreadsheets 36:5	stride 86:20
51:2,11 52:15 53:23	Select 9:17	61:4 87:14	staff 2:7,13 4:13 6:5	studying 51:4
57:25 70:15 79:16	Selected 8:20	sit-down 56:13	12:9 20:20 27:3	stuff 20:7 54:11
scheduled 18:18 80:3	selectively 27:15	site 48:8 52:10	75:4	sub-permit 74:22
81:18 88:9	send 71:1 76:18	sitting 47:1 54:5 72:4	stand 36:8 67:15	sub-permitting 74:19
scheduler 51:10	sending 78:15	79:6 82:18,20	stand 30.8 07.13	subbing 6:25
schedules 35:4,17	Senior 4:23	situation 48:4	14:1,23 18:3 71:14	subject 37:23
36:20 37:1,2,20	sense 18:2 43:6 65:22	six 40:8 43:24 47:18	83:14,16	subjected 62:19
38:2,12 40:4 43:5	68:22,25 69:18	50:4	standards 27:20 66:1	submitted 34:25
43:11,20 45:3 47:21	sent 55:25 60:14	size 50:19	73:7	subsequently 13:8
51:19 79:19	<b>September</b> 49:5	skip 6:7 35:6	stands 7:8 83:9	14:12
school 1:2 2:14,14	serious 52:3	skip 0.7 35.0 slammed 56:23	start 12:23 16:19,21	substantial 13:20
4:20 5:25 7:4,10	serve 63:11	slated 42:4 57:17	45:19	18:8 19:23 37:22
26:16,17 29:23 42:7	<b>Service</b> 1:23	slightly 70:25	started 6:4 45:18	43:23
46:17 48:7 49:25	Services 2:19,22 5:3		49:5 56:11	
50:1 52:9 54:9	12:5 14:19	<b>slippage</b> 39:13 <b>slipped</b> 28:17 39:22	starting 40:14	substantiate 47:7 substantiated 46:25
68:17 70:12 71:8,8	session 27:25	39:23 40:1 44:2	starting 40.14 starts 25:17 41:12	substitute 72:1
82:23 85:2 86:5	set 13:22 17:16 43:14	slipping 39:15	state 24:16 29:24	substitution 85:11
school-based 6:2	set 13.22 17.10 43.14 setting 45:8	SMART 33:25	30:3 31:3 32:17	sudden 85:5
schools 8:20,25 9:5	seven 86:17	smell 20:2	36:16 49:23 73:5	suffering 64:16,17
9:18 40:20 44:9	share 61:4 71:16	snippets 35:16	90:4,7	suggest 54:17
53:15 70:23 87:15	Shari 2:19 5:1 20:10	software 55:13 59:9	stated 12:22 15:3	
87:16	23:14 60:5	solutions 69:14	22:19	suggestion 67:4,25 Suite 1:24
	sharp 6:21	solve 79:2	statement 15:11	sum 28:8
scope 9:2 20:7	_		statement 15.11 statements 65:10	
scopes 41:17 SCOTT 2:24	Shaw 2:6 4:5,6,7,9	<b>somebody</b> 28:1 30:24 <b>soon</b> 85:7	states 16:9 17:2	summary 12:17,24 summer 55:18
screw 58:3	5:12,12 8:11,11,13	sooner 30:15 42:5	station 46:19	Sun-Sentinel 2:24
screw 58:3 script 77:15	33:12,14,16 62:9,10 63:15,16,17 66:14	84:18	station 46:19 statues 24:2	superintendent 7:1
scrivener's 31:6	66:16,16 68:6,6	sorry 4:9 46:15 62:16	statute 21:6,17 23:3	7:16,18 18:22,24
32:12	83:7,8 86:12,13,14	74:4	23:20 25:11 29:25	30:2 78:25 85:15
SE 1:8,24	86:23 87:4,7,20	sort 42:14 44:3	31:3 32:4,17	88:8,12
SE 1.0,24	00.23 07.4,7,20	5011 42.14 44.3	31.3 32.4,17	00.0,12
	1	I	I	I

superintendent's	t
27:23 supply 58:7 69:20	7
80:8	t
<b>support</b> 55:15 73:16	١
73:17	
<b>supported</b> 35:7 70:4	t
supporting 35:2,24	t
37:13 38:14 45:24	t
supportive 7:25	7
supports 65:10	t
supposed 45:15 46:1	
77:12	
supposedly 84:14	t
sure 7:17 16:8,24	
20:13,19 21:25 24:7	
24:11,21 27:18 32:8	
32:10 41:4 54:12	
60:1 66:4 81:7,9	
83:17 88:6	
surprise 40:7 54:21	
84:2,13,13	
surprising 28:2	7
swing 51:20 52:9	t
systematically 74:6	t
systemic 72:15,23	t
systemically 72:19	
<u>T</u>	
table 79:6	
tacked 40:8	t
take 15:4 16:4 18:22	
23:12,22 27:24	
36:24 50:14 54:7	
55:19 59:4 71:10,11	
72:17 82:7	
taken 6:2 19:1 30:21	
59:6 60:25 63:25	
68:15	
takes 46:16,16 59:20	
talk 51:22 53:4 61:17	t
talked 71:22 talking 18:11 45:19	
181KIN9   8.11 45.19	ĺ

48:4 50:13 54:1

Task 2:17 4:15 77:2

64:12,12 71:1 75:4

team 9:22 51:11

86:15 87:8,18

56:12 64:5

tangents 6:21

**tape** 79:5

```
echnology 59:11,16
Felephonically 2:6
cell 30:7 47:15 51:14
 51:16 53:11 54:4,19
 85:9
cells 49:10 85:8
emplate 21:20
emplates 22:16
Ten 35:14
erms 13:23 16:18
 30:12,18 67:11,14
 76:23
hank 3:12 5:9,22 6:5
 6:9 8:23 10:19
 11:21 12:19 13:14
 14:20 15:22 16:8
 20:2 33:16 34:15
 65:5,8 68:12 69:16
 74:10 79:9 80:16,16
 86:9 87:17 88:1,23
 89:2
Thanks 3:4 7:14
that'd 19:2
thereof 90:11
thing 15:18 27:17
 32:3,25 40:21 43:14
 45:8 52:22 54:5
 57:20 64:6,15 73:19
 81:1,9 84:12 85:6
hings 18:1 26:2
 28:18 32:14 41:10
 41:23 42:2.10 43:25
 46:2 47:4 52:3,11
 53:3,25 54:2 56:25
 57:6,9 59:10,13,22
 60:25 61:9,23 63:19
 66:21 71:23 72:7
 76:20 77:8,22 78:5
 82:12,13 83:2
hink 10:12 16:20
 18:10,12,14 21:13
 22:14,22 24:14,17
 24:19 25:3,3,4,6,15
 25:18 29:9 30:5
 31:14,22 32:7,9,14
 33:1,6 39:4,19
 42:13 46:7,8 47:8
 47:10,10,17 48:23
 49:4 52:16,19 53:6
 54:14,23,25 55:1,14
```

56:20 57:4 58:12,12 59:19 60:8,9,19 61:24 64:5 65:18,20 66:3,14,20,25 68:19 69:17 70:5,8,9,21 71:7,9,22 73:20 75:5,18,22 76:24 78:2,12,23 79:20 82:3,5,20 83:4 84:5 86:19 88:14 **thinking** 27:10 51:24 63:20 65:3 third 7:1 84:12 88:18 **thought** 63:21 74:14 thoughts 66:25 71:15 Threat 84:3 three 14:9 18:22 37:3 37:3 43:24 60:10 67:16 82:16 **Thursday** 1:11 3:5 ti's 60:4 tightened 28:12 Tim 2:23 5:8 time 5:23 6:18 7:1,6 12:21 13:17 15:3,12 17:17 21:1 24:10 30:5 35:24 36:13,20 38:19 39:11 42:19 50:4 51:1 52:4 53:19 57:7,25 58:20 72:4,5 75:4 80:20 81:5 82:9 85:14 86:4.8 87:6 89:1 **timeframe** 7:12 15:13 55:6 timeline 16:14 58:4 timely 65:24 71:25 times 36:11 **timing** 86:8 **Timothy** 1:23 90:6,16 tired 58:22 79:7 **today** 7:15,17 32:19 36:8 46:13 52:23 59:1 64:11 68:20 69:8 71:23 78:24 79:1,4,5 today's 6:22 told 53:14 59:22 61:13 85:4 tons 76:16 **top** 24:5,7 63:12 72:8

torching 52:13 total 12:22 51:21 totally 49:3 touch 76:23 touched 29:3 76:24 track 19:23 51:5 trailers 52:5,8 **training** 6:2 84:9,11 transcript 90:10 transition 34:19 transmit 9:8 11:15 33:9,10 66:10,13,17 67:3,4,23,25 80:20 81:6 83:6,12,15,17 transparent 61:24 transparently 51:14 **TRAVIS** 2:24 treatment 13:1 tremendous 61:19 **trend** 35:7 36:21 38:7 43:2 47:5 48:24 51:6,22 69:21 70:15 74:20 75:6 76:3,23 79:13,17,17,18 **trending** 38:10 47:18 79:21 trends 38:3 42:20,22 42:24 49:17 50:20 53:10 54:6,16 55:2 60:17 63:4 65:11 68:2,10,22 69:18 70:4,9 73:13,18,23 74:16,19 78:15 80:5 80:7 81:22 82:4 tri-county 46:20 troubling 27:16 **true** 40:6 90:10 **truly** 71:6 trust 54:9 **try** 8:21 28:23 58:2 62:2 70:19 71:11,13 trying 19:4 23:8 45:3 49:23 51:18 53:5 54:4 58:8,21 71:2 78:13 Tuesday 84:5 turn 17:10 71:19 77:7 turnaround 75:4 **TV** 46:19

73:13,14

topic 68:22

two 9:3 22:7 23:2 34:5 37:7 40:8 48:18 50:2,8 54:19 67:16 73:15 76:10 81:3 82:16 85:24 type 71:13 typical 16:25 typically 16:17 26:4

## unbelievable 52:7 understand 14:25 17:4 18:13 35:10 37:20 42:10 43:11 49:11 66:2 68:13 71:11 74:5 77:11 80:13 82:4 83:4 understanding 7:4 36:1 65:13,19,21 84:20 86:25 88:25 understands 82:1 understood 20:20 United 2:23 **update** 75:3 81:12,15 83:18 **updated** 13:3,8 14:13 22:12 23:2 25:11 79:16 81:19 updates 26:19 **updating** 22:17 43:25 uploading 77:18 urgency 68:13 **use** 49:9 69:11 78:13 useful 69:9 78:15 usually 27:24 utility 69:4 utilized 21:23

vacuum 29:11 Valerie 2:14 4:19 valid 21:20 value 57:22,25 various 10:1,2 vendors 23:25 56:8 version 13:3 34:20 versus 16:18 viability 68:17 VICE 2:2 viewed 68:14 Vinueza 11:4

violating 45:19 visited 48:8 volunteers 84:9,10
W
wait 19:22 27:1,12
78:7 85:12,21
waiting 28:10
walk 46:13
wall 56:23
walls 53:15,20
Walsh 55:5 74:8
<b>Wanda</b> 2:11 5:6
want 6:4,19 10:7,14
10:23,24 11:7 23:16
25:18 27:1,2 31:9
33:5 38:24 39:2
41:4 43:12 47:6
48:19 50:17 54:9,13
54:19,24 55:9 60:22
61:1,11,14 62:3
63:10 64:8,9,23,25
65:1,15 66:13,24
67:7 68:8 72:22
73:5 80:11 82:6
83:19 86:14 87:11
88:23
wanted 8:4 16:24
20:11,19 37:24 51:1
65:8,11
wants 18:9 55:22
72:6
Wanza 2:14 4:19,19
8:21 10:13,14 11:3 11:21 86:15 87:8
Warehouse 5:2
Warehousing 2:18
14:19
wasn't 15:9 43:25
50:10 77:21,25
watch 60:18
watched 87:10
watched 87.10 way 7:11 18:21 27:10
49:12 51:23 53:11
53:16 58:25 61:25
65:2 67:20 73:17
77:22 79:2 82:7
83:2 87:11
ways 7:23 58:3,5
we'll 64:22 83:17
we'ro 8:21 10:15

**we're** 8:21 10:15

12.20 16.5 19.2 11
12:20 16:5 18:3,11 18:13 24:7,11 26:15
26:18,21 30:5,17
31:13,19 33:23
41:19 44:4,7 45:23
48:17,24 49:13 51:4
51:18 52:4 54:5,6,8
55:7 59:10 60:4,5,6
60:9,25 61:24 62:1
64:2,6,9,20,21
66:18 69:5,5 71:21
72:8 73:4,4 81:2,3
82:3,16 <b>we've</b> 9:2 13:18 14:2
17:21 19:1 20:17
24:3,10 48:18 52:13
52:23 59:9,22 61:9
61:9 71:22 75:15
81:5,6
week 20:3 53:13
weeks 47:18 53:13
welcome 14:17 65:6
well-defined 41:17
went 22:24 40:18
50:2,9 75:22 88:25 weren't 28:13 43:3
57:13
whip 61:2
white 58:11
willing 15:14
<b>wish</b> 69:10
wished 13:23
withdraw 80:24
withdrawing 80:23
withholding 12:25
24:21
wondering 21:15 word 56:20
word 56:20 wording 65:12 68:9
words 38:24 72:22
work 6:23 9:3 16:3
22:15 24:5 34:6,16
37:18 41:23 48:19
50:24 51:10 54:20
54:24 56:15 57:10
61:3 65:20,24 69:13
72:6 73:10 82:1
87:12
worked 19:1 46:14
82:24

**working** 22:16 26:24

49:6 52:12 60:25 64:1,4 75:7 79:14 81:13 workshop 7:11 84:5 worth 67:2 wouldn't 30:25 WRIGHT 1:7 write 58:10 writing 26:2 29:14,15 written 16:9 29:17 35:21,23 wrong 40:9 51:23 59:14
X
Y
yeah 12:16 23:10
31:18 53:19 56:10
58:17 66:12 67:24
70:7 81:14 82:5
year 25:11 28:1,10
37:7 41:16 45:5 61:8 69:2 73:25,25
73:25 75:16 82:16
84:15,16,18,19,22
84:23,24 85:2,7,12
85:21,22,23 86:6
years 14:24 23:2 29:3
37:7 40:8,19 46:17
54:6,7,7,8 60:10
75:13 77:20 81:3
82:16,16 86:17 yesterday 54:18
<u>yesiciuay</u> 34.10
Z
0

Z
0
1
<b>1</b> 6:18 12:23 13:12
21:5
<b>1,091,047</b> 10:1
<b>1:12</b> 1:12 89:4
<b>10</b> 12:2,3 25:7 34:24
35:1,3,12 37:4,22
46:16 58:4 73:13
75:17,24
<b>100</b> 30:13
<b>11</b> 33:23,24 75:13
88:10

<b>110B</b> 18:20
<b>12</b> 79:21
<b>12:30</b> 55:8
<b>120</b> 15:4 16:4
<b>14</b> 79:22
<b>145</b> 47:23
<b>15</b> 49:14
<b>153</b> 17:23
<b>15th</b> 26:18
<b>175</b> 74:21
<b>175-day</b> 79:13
<b>18th</b> 34:3
<b>19</b> 9:21 48:2
<b>1987</b> 54:11 82:10
1st 27:25 28:6 49:5
2

<b>1987</b> 54:11 82:10
1st 27:25 28:6 49:5
2
<b>2</b> 13:10,14 14:6
<b>20</b> 49:5
<b>200</b> 1:24
<b>2013</b> 46:10 58:25
82:23
<b>2014</b> 21:12 41:11
44:20 48:6 55:18
58:14 79:8
<b>2016</b> 21:13,21
<b>2017</b> 30:1
<b>2018</b> 39:14 40:17
51:3
<b>2019</b> 29:25
<b>2020</b> 35:20,25 39:15
40:2
<b>2021</b> 34:17 36:7 86:6
<b>2022</b> 1:11 82:21
90:12
<b>2023</b> 41:25 43:12
<b>2024</b> 21:8
<b>2025</b> 69:1,2
<b>209</b> 18:21
<b>20th</b> 8:8 34:4
<b>21</b> 36:5 85:2
<b>210</b> 18:20
<b>2130</b> 84:4
<b>240</b> 37:4
<b>24th</b> 1:11 3:5
<b>25</b> 42:17,18,20
<b>26</b> 8:25 9:5 44:15 <b>2nd</b> 90:12
∡nu 90.12

3

**3** 14:8,14 52:2

Page 102
30 13:19,22,25 14:22 15:4,6,9,20 16:2,3 16:14 17:18,25 18:14 30-year 41:19 45:12 300 40:1 31st 88:10 33301 1:24 36 42:17,18 37 45:14 3rd 1:8,24
4 49:24 14:14 35:8 45:14 52:1 4:00 64:21 40 45:14 55:24 400 52:9 400,000 31:20 44 42:22
5 5 9:24 14:14 34:8 50 25:1,1 6
6 42:24 60 13:25 14:1,4,24 16:22 17:18 18:2,7 18:12,14,17 19:2,8 19:9,12,16 20:6,14 20:22 33:2 60-day 16:12 600 1:8 633 1:24 69 43:1
<b>7 725</b> 9:25
8
<b>8</b> 8:19,24 42:14,16 <b>800</b> 39:23 <b>86</b> 54:12
9
9 9:20 43:8 90 49:7 60:10 95 54:13

**11:30** 1:12 3:6